## In the Matter Of:

### MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

### **MARK MACRIS**



# MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
3				
4	MARK K. MACRIS,			
5	Plaintiff,			
6	-vs-			
7	EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC And SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC,			
8	Defendants.			
9				
10				
11	Examination Before Trial of MARK			
12	MACRIS, held before Shannon Gallagher, Notary			
13	Public, at The Law Offices of Kenneth Hiller,			
14	6000 North Bailey Avenue, Amherst, New York,			
15	on Wednesday, April 25th, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.			
16	ending at 2:06 p.m. pursuant to notice.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



MARK MACRIS
MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

1	APPEARANCES:
2	ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
3	
4	LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH HILLER, PLLC BY: SETH J. ANDREWS, ESQ.
5	6000 North Bailey Avenue, Suite 1A Amherst, New York 14226
6	(716) 564-3288
7	ATTORNEYS FOR SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC:
8	McGLINCHEY STAFFORD
9	BY: BRIAN S. McGRATH, ESQ. 112 West 34th Street, Suite 1515
LO	New York, New York 10120 (646) 362-4000
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



# MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

1		WITNE	SSES	
2	WITNESS		EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	MARK MACRIS		BY MR. MCGRATH	5
4				
5				
6		E X H I B	I T S	
7	EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	Exhibit A	Comp	laint and demand	5
9	Exhibit B		Note	5
10	Exhibit C		Document	5
11	Exhibit D	Disc	overy documents	5
12	Exhibit E	Plai	ntiff's response	5
13	Exhibit F	Plaintiff	's amended response	5
14	Exhibit G	Amended a	nd restated note	5
15	Exhibit H		Commitment	5
16	Exhibit I		Loan documents	5
17	Exhibit J		Document	5
18	Exhibit K		Document	5
19	Exhibit L		Document	5
20	Exhibit M		Document	5
21	Exhibit N		Documents	5
22	Exhibit O		Document	5
23	Exhibit P		Reports	5
24	Exhibit Q		Letter	5
25	Exhibit R		Denial letter	5
	1			



#### Case 1:17-cv-00361-WMS-LGF Document 40-19 Filed 10/31/18 Page 6 of 184

	MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN IN	April 25, 2018 4	
1	Exhibit S	Letter	5
2	Exhibit T	Document	5
3	Exhibit U	Email exchange	5
4	Exhibit V	Disclosure	30
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	1		



April 25, 2018

(Exhibits A through U were marked for identification)

The following stipulations were entered into by counsel:

It is hereby stipulated by and between the attorneys for the respective parties hereto that the oath of the Referee is waived, that signing, filing and certification of the transcript are waived and that all objections, except as to the form of the questions, are to be reserved until the time of trial.

# MARK MACRIS 270 Miller Road, Getzville, New York, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

#### EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCGRATH:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Macris.

A. Good morning.

Q. My name is Brian McGrath. I'm an attorney for the defendant, Specialized Loan Servicing LLC,



and we're here today for your deposition. Has that been explained to you today, that's why you're here?

A. Yes.

Q. Before we get started I just want to go over some ground rules to make this deposition easier and less painful for all of us here. The first ground rule is because we do have a court reporter here and she's taking down everything that you say, that I say, that we don't want to talk over each other.

So if I'm talking, just indicate to me if you need to interrupt. Let me stop and then you can talk. Along those lines, because she is human and needs to hear everything, try and speak up, speak slowly, and answer all the questions with a verbal answer as opposed to a head nod or uh-uh or something like that.

Usually try and use something like yes, no.

Use a verbal response. It usually is better for the court reporter to track what you've said.

Will you agree that if you don't understand my questions today you will say I don't understand and let me know you don't



under oath, as the court reporter just swore

- understand the question?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

4

- Q. Okay. And you do understand that you are
- 5 you in?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you understand that that means you have to testify truthfully today?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Are you physically able to sit for this
- 11 deposition today? Is there anything that
- would prevent you from sitting for this
- 13 deposition today?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Anything mentally that would render you unfit
- 16 to sit in this deposition today?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. Have you consumed any alcohol or drugs in the
- 19 past eight hours?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. And do you understand that unless directed by
- 22 your attorney, Mr. Andrews, that you have to
- 23 answer all my questions today?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. Okay. And the last thing, last housekeeping



thing, we're all human, we drink coffee, we sometimes need to go to the bathroom. If you need to take a break for any reason to use the restroom, collect your thoughts, whatever the case may be, just let me know and we will stop.

The only thing that I would ask is that if I've asked a question, you answer the question first, then state you need to take a break and then we'll stop for the break at that time.

- 11 | Sound fair?
- 12 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. Let's get on with it. You said you're at 270 Miller Road in Getzville, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. How long have you lived at that address?
- 17 A. Five-and-a-half years.
- Q. So that would mean you moved there in 2012 or 2013?
- 20 | A. Early 2013.
- Q. Okay. And prior to living at the Getzville address, where did you live?
- 23 | A. Williamsville.
- Q. Do you have a specific address that you recall?



- 1 | A. 5804 Sheridan Drive.
- 2 | Q. And how long did you live at that address?
- 3 | A. About almost four years.
- Q. Okay. So that takes us to somewhere around 2009 you moved there?
- 6 A. Actually 2010, early 2010. Close to four years.
- Q. Okay. And prior to moving to the SheridanDrive address, where did you live?
- 10 | A. In Cheektowaga.
- Q. Do you have a specific address in what I always thought was Cheektowaga, but apparently it's Cheektowaga?
- 14 A. 67 Federal Avenue.
- Q. And how long did you live at that address, approximately?
- 17 | A. Two years.
- Q. So somewhere -- that means you moved there somewhere in 2008?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall approximately when in 2008?
- 22 A. September.
- Q. And prior to living in Cheektowaga, where did you live?
- 25 A. Williamsville.



- 1 | Q. Do you have a specific address?
- 2 A. 403 Teakwood Terrace.
- 3 | Q. That's in Williamsburg?
- 4 A. No. Williamsville.
- 5 | Q. That's not in Amherst?
- A. You can consider it Amherst, but it goes by Williamsville.
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: It's a village.
- 9 Q. So Williamsville is a village within the Town of Amherst?
- 11 MR. ANDREWS: Yeah.
- 12 Q. Okay. And when did you move to the 403
  13 Teakwood Terrace address?
- 14 | A. October of 2006.
- Q. We can stop making you go backwards because we got to the property in question of this lawsuit. The 403 Teakwood Terrace address,
- 18 did you live there with anybody?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Who did you live there with?
- 21 A. My wife at the time.
- 22 Q. Okay. And her name was or is?
- 23 | A. Catherine.
- Q. Anyone else live with you at the 403 Teakwood address?



- 1 A. Our children.
- 2 | Q. How many children do you have?
- 3 A. I have two.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Boys, girls, one of each?
- 5 A. A boy and a girl.
- Q. And when you moved to the 403 Teakwood address in 2006, was that a home that you had
- 8 purchased or were you renting?
- 9 A. Purchased.
- 10 | Q. Do you recall the purchase price in 2006?
- 11 | A. Approximately \$189,000.
- Q. And did you procure financing when you officially purchased it in 2006?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall who financed that purchase in
- 16 2006?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me the name of the bank or lending company?
- 20 A. Mortgage broker. I don't recall the bank.
- 21 Q. And after you purchased the home in 2006 with
- 22 what you believe to be mortgage broker or
- something along those lines, did you ever
- 24 refinance that loan?
- 25 | A. Yes.



- 1 | Q. Do you recall when you refinanced it?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. When was that?
- 4 A. Either January or February of 2008.
- 5 Q. Okay. All right. We'll come back to that
- 6 transaction. Let's talk about -- you said at
- 7 the time you moved to the 403 Teakwood address
- 8 | you were married to Catherine; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. When did you get married to Catherine?
- 12 A. August of 2005.
- 13 | Q. Are you still married to Catherine?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 | Q. Are you separated or divorced?
- 16 A. Divorced.
- 17 | Q. Do you recall what year you got divorced?
- 18 A. Finalized July of 2010.
- 19 Q. When you say finalized, does that mean you
- started the divorce proceedings prior to July
- 21 of 2010?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Do you recall when?
- 24 A. Probably -- back that up. 2008, late 2008,
- November, December of 2008.



- Q. Okay. And late November, December of 2008, that's when you initiated divorce proceedings?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Had anything precipitated that in advance, a separation, living apart, something --
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What was that?
- 8 A. Separation.
- 9 Q. Do you recall when you separated from your now 10 ex-wife, Catherine?
- 11 A. September of 2008.
- Q. Did you do anything to prepare for this deposition today?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do to prepare for this deposition?
- 17 | A. I met with my attorney, Seth Andrews.
- Q. Did you meet with him in person or over the phone?
- 20 A. I met with him in person.
- 21 | Q. And when did you meet with him in person?
- 22 A. Yesterday.
- Q. Okay. Did you review any documents during
  that meeting that refreshed your recollection
  on anything?



1 A. Yes.

2

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. What documents were those?
- A. The initial complaint that we filed, we reviewed some of the credit report information from Experian.
  - Q. And again, just so I'm clear here, I'm asking about specific documents that refresh your recollection on anything. So you're saying the complaint and the credit reports refreshed your recollection on something?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know if the credit report that you reviewed and refreshed your recollection yesterday has been provided as discovery in this litigation?
- 16 A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Sure. Let me ask it better. You reviewed a credit report yesterday you said that refreshed your recollection, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know if that document has been given to your attorney?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether your attorney has provided a copy of that to me?



A. I don't know.

MR. MCGRATH: Can I just ask --

MR. ANDREWS: Yeah. It's the July, 2017 report. There's a May, which was supplemented, and then there's a July 27, 2017, reinvestigation report.

MR. MCGRATH: Both those have been provided? I only have a copy with me of the May, 2016.

MR. ANDREWS: Yeah. You should have the July. I can give one to you.

MR. MCGRATH: Okay. On a break. We'll get to that later. That's fine.

BY MR. MCGRATH:

Q. Let's turn to this lawsuit, Mr. Macris. I'm going to hand you what's been premarked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A. When I refer to defendant, I'm referring to defendant Specialized Loan Servicing LLC, just for the record.

Experian Information Solutions is not at this deposition today. They informed counsel of record that they have a tentative settlement and were not appearing today but

reserved their rights to potentially take a deposition.

Mr. Macris, have you seen this document

Mr. Macris, have you seen this document that has been marked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit A before?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 | Q. And can you identify what this is?
- 8 A. It's a complaint and demand for a jury trial.
- 9 Q. Okay. And this is referring to the lawsuit
  10 that you filed against my client as well as
  11 Experian Information Solutions?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And did you assist your attorney, Mr.

    Andrews, in preparing this document? Let

    me -- strike that. Let me ask a better

    question. I shouldn't strike it. She still

    writes what I just said. Did you provide your

    attorney, Mr. Andrews, information to assist

    in the preparation of this documentation?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. So if there is factual allegations in here on behalf of plaintiff, Mr. Macris, is it fair that that information was provided by you to your attorney?
- 25 A. Yes.



- Q. Okay. So I'd like to direct you to the first page of that document. It's paragraph 3. Do you see that paragraph?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. It states that defendant SLS violated the FCRA by failing to conduct a thorough investigation and review all relevant information after receiving notice of the disputed information.

  Do you see that?
- 10 | A. Yes.
  - Q. What is your basis -- what is the basis for that statement to the extent you know?
  - A. I notified Specialized Loan Servicing over the phone. I also notified Specialized Loan Servicing with court documents that alleviate me from the obligation of this mortgage.
  - Q. I'm going to show you what's been premarked for identification as Exhibits B and C. I'd like you to review both at the same time. Do you recognize what's been premarked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit B?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- A. This is the note when myself and my wife at the time refinanced the mortgage.



- Q. Okay. So is that your signature on page 2 of Exhibit B?
- 3 A. Yes, that is my signature.
- Q. And below your signature is the signature of Catherine Macris; is that correct?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And she was your wife at the time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the date of this document on the first page is February 1, 2008, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that's consistent with your testimony a
  few minutes ago as to when you refinanced your
  mortgage, correct, for the Teakwood Terrace
  address?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. And looking at Exhibit C, do you recognize what Exhibit C is?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What do you recognize Exhibit C to be?
- A. This appears to be a recording from Erie

  County Clerk's Office recording page that

  goes -- is returned to Countrywide Home Loans,

  who I believe was the bank at the time of the

  refinance.



- Q. So that's the first page of Exhibit C. What about the second page through the remainder of the document that is marked as Exhibit C? Do you know what that is?
- A. Appears to be a mortgage note.
- Q. Okay. And do you see any dates on that page, which is Bates labeled SLS007? Any dates on there that identify the date the document --
- A. On this page, page 2, the only date I see is February 1st of 2008.
- Q. Okay. Turning to page SLS012 of Defendant's C, do you see your name and signature?
- 13 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 | Q. And is that your name and signature?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And do you recall signing this document?
- 17 A. No. It's ten years ago.
- Q. Do you have any reason to think that's not your signature?
- 20 A. I don't.
- Q. And you recall refinancing the loan in 2008, correct, in February?
- 23 A. I recall refinancing the loan.
- Q. And below your name and signature appears to be your ex-wife's name and signature as well



- on that page, correct?
  - A. Yes.

9

- Q. Going back to Exhibit B, if you would turn to the second page on Exhibit B, I'd like you to read to yourself paragraph 9 and just let me know when you're done. Are you finished reading that one?
- 8 A. I'm done.
  - Q. What do you understand in layman's terms paragraph 9 to mean?
- 11 MR. ANDREWS: I'm going to object --
- 12 A. That I am obligated to pay this debt.
- Q. Okay. And the last sentence of paragraph 9
  says any one person signing this note may be
  required to pay all of the amounts owed under
  this note. Do you see that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So you agree that's what it says?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. What do you understand that last sentence to mean?
- MR. ANDREWS: Same objection. You can answer.
- 24 A. That if I sign this, then I am required to pay 25 this.



- Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that that is your signature on that page, correct?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

- Q. Do you recall after you signed this note and mortgage, Exhibits B and C in February of 2008, whether you and your then wife did make payments on this loan?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you recall when you made your first payment?
- 11 A. We made our first payment initially when we 12 got this mortgage back in 2006.
  - Q. And turning to page 1 of Exhibit B, you'll see in paragraph 4 it states that the first payment was due on April 1, 2008. Do you see that in paragraph 4?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. So is that when you would have made the first payment on this loan?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall who you made the payment to at that time?
- 23 | A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you recall -- did there come a time when you stopped making the payments required under



- 1 paragraph 2 and 4 of the note?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And do you recall when that occurred?
- 4 A. I don't have a -- I do not have a specific date.
- Q. Let's try and narrow it down, then, since
  that's understandable. You said you made the
  first payment under this note by April 1,
  2008, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you recall whether you made the next six payments?
- 13 | A. I made the next six payments.
- Q. Okay. So that takes us to the fall of 2008; is that correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you recall if you made payments for the In other words -- let me take 18 next year? 19 that -- do you recall -- you recalled making 20 payments from April 1, 2008 through let's say April 1, 2008 [sic], correct? 21 That puts you 22 into the fall. Do you recall making payments 23 for the entire next year?
- 24 | A. No, I don't recall.
- 25 | Q. Okay. But you recall that at some point you



- 1 stopped making payments, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall why you stopped making payments?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Why is that?
- 7 A. My wife at the time was supposed to make payments on this.
- Q. Okay. Help me understand that. At the time
   that the payments stopped somewhere in 2009,
   is that when you believe the payments stopped?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. That your wife was supposed to make the payments?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was that per an agreement between you and your wife?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Was this a written agreement?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. How did that agreement come about? What led to that agreement?
- 23 A. Separation.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you said you separated in September of 2008, correct?



- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So at that time you and your wife agreed
- 3 between the two of you that she would make the
- 4 payments on the loan?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. But you had nothing in writing, correct?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 | Q. And you've already stated that you understood
- 9 paragraph 9 of this to be that you had to --
- 10 either one of you were responsible for this
- 11 note, correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. How did you learn that your wife had
- 14 stopped making payments on the loan?
- 15 | A. I don't recall.
- 16 | Q. But you do recall at some point learning that
- 17 your wife stopped making payments?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall when you learned that?
- 20 A. She wanted to refinance the loan.
- 21 | Q. That's something she told you?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How did that conversation come up?
- 24 A. She wanted to refinance the loan to lower the
- 25 mortgage payment.



- Q. Okay. I understand we're going back to a period of your life that's not pleasant, so I appreciate your patience with these questions, but they're relevant to this litigation.

  After your wife stopped making payments on the loan, did you step in and start making those payments?
- 8 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Why not?
- 10 A. Because we had an agreement.
- 11 | Q. And the we in that is who?
- 12 | A. Myself and Catherine.
- Q. But there was no agreement with the bank to that agreement, correct?
- 15 | A. No.
  - Q. So at the time you and your wife entered into the verbal agreement in 2008 that she would be responsible for the mortgage, the bank never told you that you, Mark Macris, were not responsible to make payments, correct, in 2008?
- 22 A. The verbal agreement was not in 2008.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. As you stated.
  - Q. When was the verbal agreement?



- A. I would say spring of 2009.
- 2 | Q. So the verbal agreement that you and your wife
- 3 reached in the spring of 2009, that agreement
- 4 didn't include the bank that financed the
- 5 | loan, did it?
- 6 | A. No.

- 7 | Q. And nobody from the bank told you in the
- 8 spring of 2009 that you didn't need to make
- 9 the loan payments, right?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit C
- 12 again. If you turn to what is Bates labeled
- 13 | SLS010, I'd like you to look and read to
- 14 yourself paragraph 11 and let me know when
- you're done reading paragraph 11. Do you
- 16 understand paragraph 11?
- 17 | A. Not everything about it.
- 18 Q. Okay. What, if anything, in paragraph 11 do
- 19 you understand?
- 20 A. My interpretation of it is that a borrower is
- 21 | not released from a loan.
- 22 | Q. Going back for a minute, are you currently
- employed?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What is your occupation?



- 1 A. I am an inspector.
- 2 Q. What type of inspector?
- 3 A. Insurance inspector.
- 4 | Q. And do you work currently for some entity?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What's the name of that entity?
- 7 A. The New York Workers' Compensation Rating 8 Board.
- 9 Q. Okay. And I believe according to your 10 interrogatory responses, they're based out of 11 New York City?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. But you work here in the Buffalo area, correct?
- 15 A. I am a field employee.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you ever go to their office in New York City?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How often?
- 20 A. Maybe once a year, once every other year.
- Q. How long have you worked as an insurance inspector for NYWCRB, the acronym --
- 23 A. NYWCIRB.
- Q. Okay. How long have you worked for them?
- 25 | A. Six years.



- 1 Q. Okay. So if my math is good you started in 2 2012?
- 3 | A. July of 2012.
- Q. Okay. And prior to starting as an inspector with NYWCIRB, did you work anywhere else?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Where did you work prior to that?
- 8 A. I worked for a company called Tyler Fire 9 Equipment.
- 10 | Q. When did you work for them?
- 11 | A. 2010 to late -- 2010 to 2012.
- 12 Q. Okay. And where was Tyler Fire Equipment located?
- 14 | A. Elmira, New York.
- Q. Elmira is a little ways away from Buffalo, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you work in Elmira or did you work in
- 19 Buffalo?
- 20 A. I worked in Buffalo.
- 21 Q. And prior to working for Tyler Equipment, did
- you work anywhere else prior to that,
- immediately prior to that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | O. Where was that?



- 1 A. I worked in Tonawanda, New York.
- 2 | Q. And who did you work for in Tonawanda?
- 3 | A. Triad Synergy Group.
- Q. Do you have the approximate dates you worked there?
- 6 A. 2009 to 2010.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what was your position at Triad 8 Synergy Group?
- 9 A. Sales rep.
- Q. What were the sales? What is the thing that you sell for Triad Synergy Group?
- 12 A. I don't understand the question.
- 13 | Q. Sure. What is that type of business?
- 14 | A. I sold fire equipment.
- 15 | Q. That explains your next job I suppose.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. And immediately prior to Triad Synergy Group, were you employed anywhere else?
- 19 A. I was an insurance broker and an insurance 20 agent.
- Q. Were you an independent insurance broker, agent, or did you work for an insurance agency?
- A. I worked directly for an insurance company and
  I also worked for an insurance broker.



- Q. Okay. So let's break that down. Were you working those jobs at the same time or were they -- did one follow the other?
  - A. One followed the other.
- 5 | Q. Let's go immediately in 2009. Which was that?
- 6 A. Insurance broker.
- 7 Q. And do you recall the approximate time period for that job?
- 9 | A. Yes.

- 10 | Q. Okay. What was that?
- 11 | A. 2008 to 2009.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what was the name of that insurance broker?
- 14 | A. EMS Group.
- 15 | Q. What type of insurance was this?
- 16 A. What do you mean?
- Q. Home, auto, fire, flood? Did you have a specific insurance product that you focused
- 19 on?
- 20 A. Insurance is either -- I was a licensed
- insurance agent in the State of New York.
- 22 Insurance is basically either personal or
- 23 commercial. I wrote commercial insurance.
- Q. What do you mean you wrote it?
- 25 | A. I wrote policies for commercial companies,



- liability, commercial auto, Workers'Compensation.
  - Q. And these policies were written policies that had clauses and provisions within them?

    Describe for me what these written policies were.
  - A. An insurance policy is a contract.
- 8 Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- A. Whether it be for general liability, property, commercial auto, Workers' Comp. There's endorsements, exclusions, I could sit and talk all day about it.
  - Q. When you say you wrote them, what do you mean you wrote them?
  - A. I wrote the business. When an agent writes an insurance policy, the insurance carrier is the one who actually handles the policy. We service the insured, not and the insurer.
  - Q. Okay. So is it fair to say in that job you were familiar with reading and understanding contracts?
- 22 | A. Yes.
  - Q. So during the time you were an insurance broker from 2008 to 2009, do you know approximately how many contracts, insurance



## MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

- contracts you reviewed or read or issued or wrote?
- 3 | A. Approximately 10 to 15.
- Q. And immediately prior to being an insurance broker, you said you were an insurance agent; is that correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And what years were you an insurance
  agent? And I think we're probably covered
  with your work employment for purposes of this
  case.
- 12 A. 2005 to 2008.
- Q. And was there -- did you have a specific employer?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Who was that?
- 17 | A. Sentry Insurance Company.
- 18 | Q. Where were they located?
- 19 | A. That is spelled S-E-N-T-R-Y.
- 20 Q. Thank you. And where were they located?
- 21 | A. Stevens Point, Wisconsin.
- 22 | Q. And did you work in Wisconsin?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 Q. Where did you work?
- 25 | A. Buffalo.



- Q. And as opposed to your job as an insurance broker, what did you do as an insurance agent?
  - A. An insurance broker represents multiple lines of carriers. An insurance agent works directly for the company and is a direct writer. I wrote insurance for Sentry Insurance as a direct writer as opposed to representing multiple companies.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so again, this means you were writing contracts?
- 11 | A. No.

- Q. Okay. So I thought you just said you wrote insurance. So help me understand what that means.
- A. Insurance is policy. It's -- it's a written policy.
- Q. Okay. Did you write these policies?
  - A. No. I don't -- I don't write the policies. I write the business underneath either Sentry
    Insurance or I write it -- when I was a broker
    I would write it underneath a desired carrier who would accept the risk.
  - Q. Okay. Maybe I'm not understanding how we're using the word write. Are you using the word writing in terms of underwriting or physically



writing the agreement?

- A. I'll have to explain it in quite detail.
- Q. Sure. Go ahead.

A. When -- we'll start with Sentry Insurance. I am a licensed insurance agent in property and casualty and also licensed in life accident and health through the New York State

Department of Financial Services. If I go to, as an example, a machine shop, me working as an agent for Sentry Insurance, I offer them the program of an insurance policy. Companies by law have to carry insurance, property liability in New York State Workers' Comp by law, by insurance laws.

I would ask them if I could provide them with a quote of their insurance. Part of my job as an agent is to verify their operations, look over what their current insurance program has to offer, gather information about the business and the risk, give it to -- report to my office in Stevens Point, Wisconsin, what the risk is, what they do.

For Workers' Comp I have to look at what their classification is, their standard industry classification, and give that to my



1 underwriting staff. The underwriting staff is 2 an insurance company as ones that look at an 3 insurance -- a potential risk and decide if 4 they want to offer an insurance policy to a 5 So this takes time. If there's business. 6 questions that have to be answered, I have to 7 give this information off to my underwriting 8 staff.

If it is an acceptable risk by the insurance company, Sentry, they send to me a package which I provide to the potential client. Now saying you write is an insurance term. I wrote the business. I didn't write this insurance policy, but I wrote the business. I hope that explains it.

- Q. Okay. Yeah. Thank you. What is your educational background?
- 18 A. I have a college degree.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

- 19 Q. Where is your college degree from?
  - A. Buffalo State College.
- Q. And is that a BA, a BS? What degree do you have?
- 23 A. Bachelor of science.
- 24 | Q. What was your major?
  - A. Criminal justice.



- 1 | O. You didn't want to become a lawyer?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. What year did you graduate from Buff State?
- 4 A. 1991.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Did you ever pursue any post college graduate studies anywhere?
  - A. I took courses throughout -- after I finished my degree at Buffalo State, I took a lot of secondary courses, insurance courses, financial planning courses through Bryant & Stratton College, yes.
  - Q. And did you ever formally matriculate in any program at Bryant & Stratton or any other graduate school?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | O. Which school?
- 17 | A. Bryant & Stratton College.
- 18 Q. When did you matriculate in a graduate 19 program?
- 20 A. It's not a graduate program. It's a 21 certificate. Certified financial planner.
- Q. When did you matriculate in the certified financial planner program?
- 24 A. 2008.
- 25 | Q. Did you complete that program?



- 1 A. I completed the course program, yes.
  - Q. Did you obtain the certification?
- 3 A. No, I did not obtain the certification.
- 4 Q. Why not?

- A. I decided that at the time that I gained a lot 5 of great knowledge but I decided not to pursue 6 7 a career in the financial services as a certified financial planner. 8
- 9 Any other graduate level or post O. Okay. 10 college programs you've taken since 2008?
- A. No. 11
- 12 Q. Any other post college programs other than the 13 Bryant & Stratton program in 2008?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. But you're not a lawyer, right?
- 16 A. No.

17

19

20

- Q. Did you understand, going back to Exhibits B and C, specifically C, did you understand that 18 pursuant to that mortgage loan you and your then wife had to have property insurance on the 403 Teakwood Terrace property?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know when your wife -- sorry --23 your ex-wife stopped making payments in 2009, 24 25 whether there was property insurance on the



- property paid for by either you or your
  wife -- ex-wife?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you have any specific recollection of
  making property insurance payments on the 403
  Teakwood Terrace property in 2009 or later?
- 7 | A. I don't.

- Q. Do you have any cancelled checks or invoices showing that you made any property insurance payments for the 403 Teakwood Terrace address from 2009 to present?
- 12 A. I have none.
- Q. But you said you understood that pursuant to the mortgage that you and your then wife were to maintain property insurance?
- 16 | A. Yes.

20

- Q. And you -- do you not recall or don't know whether your ex-wife was paying for property insurance from 2009 to present?
  - A. I would say that she was paying the property insurance.
- 22 | Q. Why would you say that?
- A. I would say that she was paying it because if
  I recall, that the insurance was a separate
  policy away from the mortgage, but I can't



- recall the specifics of that. I don't know.
  - Q. Do you have any documents related to what you believe to be your ex-wife's making payments on property insurance?
- 5 A. No.

3

4

- Q. Is it possible your wife was not makingproperty insurance payments?
- 8 A. It is possible.
- 9 Q. You also -- do you understand that pursuant to
  10 Exhibit C, the mortgage, that you and your
  11 ex-wife had to pay property taxes on the 403
  12 Teakwood Terrace property?
- 13 | A. Yes.

14

15

16

- Q. And do you recall whether property taxes were paid on that property after your wife stopped making the mortgage payments in 2009?
- 17 | A. I do not recall.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of you, yourself,
  making the property tax payments on that
  property from 2009 through current?
- 21 | A. I did not.
- Q. So just to clarify, you did not make payments, the property tax payments?
- 24 A. I did not.
  - Q. But again, you understand that pursuant to the



- mortgage you and your wife committed to making
  the property tax payments, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. At the time you learned that your ex-wife stopped making the mortgage payments on the 403 Teakwood Terrace property, were you concerned that you might lose the house?
- 8 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why were you concerned that you might lose the house?
- 11 | A. Because my daughter was there.
  - Q. Okay. Maybe that's an answer to a different question. Let me go back to what I was trying to get at with my first question. Why is it that you believe your wife having stopped making the mortgage payments could lead to you losing the house?
  - A. If she doesn't make the mortgage payments then the bank could take the house. That would be my guess.
  - Q. And when you say if she didn't, you testified earlier that you understood that under the mortgage and the note, Exhibits B and C, you were also obligated to make those payments, correct?



- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you said you were worried about your daughter losing her house, right?
- 4 | A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. You also said you had a son, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Was your son living at the property at that time?
- 9 | A. He was with me.
- 10 Q. We'll come back to all the -- the vision of who lived where.
- 12 | A. Sure.
- Q. Did you lose any sleep over the possibility that stopping making the mortgage payments
- 15 | could result in loss of the house?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- Q. At that time in 2009, were you and your
- 18 ex-wife experiencing any financial
- 19 | difficulties?
- 20 A. We were going through a divorce. Everything
- 21 was difficult.
- Q. Did financial struggles contribute to the
- 23 strain on your marriage?
- 24 | A. No.

Q. Did you have any financial struggles prior to



your separation from your wife?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever receive letters from the lender or loan servicer requesting payments on the mortgage loan after your wife stopped making payments in 2009?
- A. I did not.
- Q. Did you ever -- in 2009, did you notify the lender that you were living at a different address than the property?
- 11 | A. No.
  - Q. Did you understand that under the mortgage you were required to provide a current mailing address to your loan servicer?
- 15 | A. I don't recall that.
- Q. We can either take a break now or I can start on my next topic.
- 18 A. Let's keep going.
  - Q. I am going to show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit D. It's a hefty package. So Defendant's Exhibit D are documents your attorney provided to us during discovery. The first document is identified as a matrimonial settlement agreement between Catherine M. Macris and Mark K. Macris. Do you see that?



- A. Yes. 1
- Q. Have you ever seen -- and that's the first 2 45 pages of Defendant's Exhibit D. Have you 3 seen this document before?
- A. Many times. 5
- Q. Okay. Does it bring back fond memories? 6
- A. No. 7

8

9

10

11

12

- O. I didn't think so. So this document on page 2 says it's an agreement made on November 4, 2009, between Catherine M. Macris, referred to in this agreement as the wife, and Mark K. Macris, referred to in this agreement as the husband. Do you see that on page 2?
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. Okay. What do you understand these first 15 45 pages of Exhibit D to be? 16
- A. We're divorced. 17
- Q. Okay. But do you understand what this 18 document is? What would you call this 19 20 document?
- A. Settlement arrangement. 21
- Q. Fair to say this is your divorce agreement? 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And turning to page 42 of that 24 document, do you see your name and signature 25



## MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

April 25, 2018

- 1 on page 42?
- 2 A. Mm-hmm.
- 3 | Q. Is that your signature?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And above that, do you see the name andsignature of Catherine M. Macris?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall signing this document?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And on the following page, do you see that this was notarized?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Do you understand what a notary is?
- 15 A. I would say a notary is somebody that verifies
  16 identity to have them -- somebody comes and
  17 needs a signed document, they verify identity
  18 and they verify that that's the person who is
- 19 signing the document.
- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that this notary
- 21 who is whatever that signature is there
- verified your identity and signature when you
- 23 signed this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Have you ever notarized -- have you ever had a



notary notarize a document you signed where you weren't in front of them when you signed it?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Turning to page 40 of the agreement -actually, why don't you start at page 38 where
Article 40 starts, real property. I'll let
you read this, but let me just summarize what
Article 40 is. This is the section of your
divorce agreement that addresses what you and
your ex-wife agreed to do with your marital
property, your real property, your 403
Teakwood Terrace property.

Turning to page 40, the first full paragraph on 40, it states the wife agrees that within six -- from -- should have been six months I presume, from the full execution of this agreement, she shall secure the husband's release and/or discharge from the mortgage obligation presently incumbering the former marital premises. Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What do you understand that sentence to mean?
- A. I understand it to be that she needs to refinance the house.



- Q. Okay. And the next sentence says upon said refinance, the husband shall immediately convey his interest in the former marital premises to the wife and he shall execute a quick claim deed and other required transfer documents. What do you understand that sentence to mean?
- A. That if she refinances the house, then I need to be alleviated from the note and the mortgage of the house.
- Q. And the next sentence, if the wife fails to secure the husband's release or discharge from said mortgage obligation within this time period, she will cooperate in the sale of said property at the best available price and upon the best available terms. Do you see that sentence?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

- Q. What do you understand that sentence to mean?
- 20 A. If she doesn't make the payments, then she is required to sell it.
- Q. Where does it say make the payments in that sentence?
  - A. Correction. If I don't file this quick claim deed -- I don't know. I don't know mortgage



terms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So you're not sitting here saying today that this agreement itself relieves you of the mortgage obligation, are you?
- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Let me try and ask it better. Do you understand these three sentences to say that this agreement, this divorce agreement itself, relieves you of your mortgage obligations?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. That's what you --
- 12 A. It relieves me of me paying the mortgage,
  13 correct.
  - Q. Okay. So then what is the first sentence, what is the purpose of that first sentence where it talks about your wife shall secure the husband's release and/or discharge from the mortgage obligation? What does that mean?

MR. ANDREWS: Object to the extent that paragraph speaks for itself, but you can answer.

A. What I interpret it as is she needs to refinance the house, she needs to refinance the house, that will alleviate me from the obligation of the mortgage.



- 1 | 0. Once she refinances the house?
- 2 A. Once she refinances the house, correct.
- Q. Okay. And if she doesn't refinance the house and secure your release, that third sentence says she will cooperate with you in selling the house, correct?
- 7 | A. Correct.
  - Q. This divorce agreement, the first 45 pages of Exhibit D, was your mortgage lender a party to this agreement?
- 11 | A. No.

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Is it your understanding that even though your mortgage lender was not a party to this agreement that this agreement can be binding on your mortgage lender?
  - A. I don't know that question. I don't know the answer to that question. I don't know if they would accept this. I don't know that.
  - Q. Okay. So -- but again, you've stated the mortgage lender was not a party to this agreement?
- 22 A. The mortgage lender was not a party to this agreement.
- Q. Do you know if your wife ever refinanced the mortgage for the property located at 403



Teakwood Terrace?

- A. I believe she tried.
- Q. Do you know if she ever successfully refinanced?
- 5 | A. No.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. No, you don't know, or no, she did not successfully refinance?
  - A. I don't know if she successfully refinanced.
    - Q. Did your wife ever inform you after the six-month period she was unable to do it and would cooperate with you in selling the property?
    - A. No, she didn't say that.
    - Q. So even though you're not aware that your wife refinanced the property pursuant to page 40, your understanding is you no longer had an obligation on the mortgage as a result of this agreement?
    - A. She told me she was going to make the payments on the mortgage, she was going to make the payments on this mortgage, but she wanted to refinance it.
    - Q. Earlier we already covered under Exhibits B and C you were obligated on the note and mortgage separately as well, correct?



A. Correct.

1

6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

- 2 Q. So in your agreement with the lender, you had 3 agreed to be obligated on the note and mortgage -- the note that was secured by the 4 5 mortgage on the property at 403 Teakwood Terrace?
- 7 A. In 2008, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Do you have any document in 2009 when this divorce was entered between you and your ex-wife where the mortgage lender agreed to release you from the mortgage?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Do you have any written agreement from the 14 mortgage lender releasing you from Exhibit B, 15 note?
  - A. I don't know. This is back in 2008.
  - Q. Since 2008 until current, sitting here today, do you have any documents in your possession from the mortgage lender releasing you from your note obligations under Exhibit B?
- 21 I have a document from the court. A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question and get the 23 answer I'm looking for here. Do you have any 24 agreements from the mortgage lender releasing 25 you from Exhibit B?



- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. What is that document?
- A. I'm going to repeat myself. That would be the court document.
- Q. So we will go to the court document. So you're referring to a document from a court?
- 7 A. Correct.

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. You're not -- you don't have anydocument from the bank itself releasing you --
- 10 A. Not from the actual bank.
- 11 Q. Do you have any documents from the actual bank 12 removing you from the mortgage, Exhibit C?
- A. I don't have a document from the bank. I have a document from the court.
  - Q. Okay. So you have no documents from the lender or their servicer relieving you of exhibits -- your obligations under Exhibit B and C?
- 19 A. Repeat the question.
- Q. You have no documents directly from the lender or its loan servicer relieving you of the obligations you undertook in Exhibits B and C?
- A. I'm going to go back to the document from the court.
  - Q. Other than the document from the court --



- A. No, I don't. I have the document from the court.
  - Q. You have no documents directly from the lender or its loan servicer?
  - A. No.

6 MR. ANDREWS: Can we go off the record 7 for a second.

8

9

3

4

5

(Discussion held off the record)

10

11

12

13

- Q. I'd like you to go back and look at Exhibit A, which is the complaint in this action. You got that document?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. I'd like you to look at paragraph 24.

  16 Paragraph 24 you allege in or about August of

  17 2012, a deed and real property transfer report

  18 was filed with the Erie County Clerk's Office

  19 removing plaintiff from the deed and the

  20 mortgage for the property at 403 Teakwood

  21 Terrace, Amherst, New York 14221. Do you see
- 23 | A. Yes.

that?

22

Q. Do you have a document from August 14, 2012, that removed you from the mortgage?



- A. I'm not sure on that. I know that I signed a document to relieve me -- release me I believe from the deed to the house.
  - Q. Okay. So let's turn to that. That's in Exhibit D. It is page 46 of Exhibit D. It's called warranty deed with lien covenant. It's a two-page document with a third page that is the transfer report. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And that's dated February 3, 2012. Do you see that? Is this the document you're referring to in paragraph 24 of the complaint?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Can you point to this document in Exhibit D, pages 46, 47, or 48 where it states that you're relieved from the mortgage?
  - A. I don't see it written where I am relieved from the mortgage.
- Q. So you can't point anywhere in this exhibit you were removed from the mortgage?
- 21 A. No. Because it's a warranty deed.
- 22 Q. So is the answer to my question is yes?
- A. I don't see anywhere on this where I am relieved from the mortgage on this document.
  - Q. Do you have any documents from 2012 that were

- filed with the clerk's office that showed that
  you are removed from the mortgage?
- 3 | A. No.
- Q. Okay. So what is the basis for that statement in paragraph 24, that in or about August 14, 2012, you were removed from the mortgage?
- 7 A. That's what it says, correct.
- 8 Q. What is the basis for that statement?
- 9 | A. I'm not sure.
- 10 | Q. So you're not sure about your allegation?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- Q. I'd like you to look at paragraph 32 of the complaint. It states that the plaintiff allegedly defaulted on the subject debt. Did
- you or did you not, you and your wife, default on the subject debt?
- 17 A. What do you mean default?
- 18 | Q. Stop making payments.
- 19 A. Yes, I believe that the payments were not made 20 sometime after 2009.
- Q. Okay. So what's the basis for saying allegedly in that paragraph?
- A. That I allegedly did not make the payments on the subject debt.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Earlier you testified that after your



separation from your wife and your verbal agreement in the spring of 2009 you have not made a payment on the mortgage loan that is Exhibits B and C since that time, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So again, I say what's allegedly that you did not make payments on the subject note? Let me ask a better question. Are you suggesting in paragraph 32 that your wife made payments on the loan after she defaulted -- after you and her defaulted?
- A. I don't know if she made payments on the loan.
- Q. But you know that you, who is the plaintiff in this action, did not make payments on the subject loan after 2009?
- A. Repeat.
  - Q. You know that you, who is the plaintiff in this action, has not made a mortgage loan payment on Exhibits B and C since 2009?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Paragraph 34, you allege in or about May of 2016, plaintiff received a call from SLS requesting his financial information.

    Plaintiff informed SLS that he does not have any information to the subject debt. This is



in May of 2006, you informed my client you do 1 2 not have any obligations on the subject debt. 3 MR. ANDREWS: 2016. I will agree I MR. MCGRATH: Sorry. 4 5 misstated that. Q. In May of 2016, you informed SLS that you did 6 7 not have any obligation on the subject debt. 8 What was your basis for that statement in May of 2016? 9 10 A. That I was in possession of a court document alleviating me from this mortgage signed by a 11 12 judge. 13 Your next sentence states that 14 plaintiff further stated that he had been 15 removed from the deed and mortgage in August 16 of 2012. Again, I ask what document do you 17 have that shows you were removed from the 18 mortgage in August of 2012? 19 A. I'm not a mortgage person, so if I'm off the 20 deed, I don't know if I'm off the mortgage or 21 I can't answer that question, but I have 22 a court document signed by a judge that says that I am off of it. 23

Q. We'll get to that court document, but that's

the next part of the sentence, and that's from



24

- November of 2014. We'll get to what that actually says, but that's in November of 2014?
  - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

- Q. What I'm asking is what was the basis for your assertion in the first part of that sentence that in August of 2012 you had been removed from the mortgage?
- A. I advised SLS I had no obligation to this mortgage debt when they contacted me, that I removed myself from the deed and I removed myself from the obligation of -- I removed myself from the property. As far as the mortgage, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. The deed document you're referring to, it's in Exhibit D, pages 46 through 48.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Is my client a party to that document?
- 18 A. SLS isn't on here.
- 19 Q. What about any bank?
- 20 A. No, I don't see any bank.
- Q. Okay. So that document, which is Exhibit D, pages 46 through 48, is between you and your ex-wife, correct?
  - A. I don't know a lot about warranty deeds, but
    I'm assuming that this agreement is a



- warranty -- a warranty deed is for me to remove myself from the property and the parcel of land and has to be approved by the Erie County Clerk's Office. I'm assuming and guessing this is an agreement that has to be approved by the Erie County Clerk's Office.
- Q. Okay. The signatures on this document, the warranty deed with lien covenant, they include your signature and your ex-wife's signature, correct?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. Do they include any signatures from SLS?
- 13 | A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. What about any lender? Do you see any lender signature approving this document?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. And you started stating nothing in this
  document -- you can't point to something in
  this document that removes you from the
  mortgage?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- Q. So going back to my question, what is the
  basis for your statement in paragraph 34 that
  you had been removed from the deed, that part
  I understand, you just referred to Exhibit D,



	MACE	AIS VS EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS
1		pages 46 through 48, but you also say and
2		mortgage.
3	A.	I assumed. If I'm removed from the deed, and
4		I have this court document, that I'm
5		alleviated from the I am alleviated from
6		the mortgage.
7	Q.	Okay. Again, let's keep to 2012 when there
8		wasn't a court document.
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	In 2012, what was your basis for stating that
11		you had been removed from the mortgage?
12	Α.	I don't know. I can't answer that question.
13	Q.	But you did state that in August of 2012 you
14		didn't have a written agreement from SLS or
15		any bank releasing you from the mortgage?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	Is it your understanding under Exhibits B and
18		C that you're obligated on those documents
19		unless the lender releases you from those
20		obligations?
21	Α.	I would say so, yes.
22		MR. MCGRATH: Why don't we take a quick
23		break here.

25

(Recess taken)



- 1 BY MR. MCGRATH:
- 2 Q. Welcome back, Mr. Macris.
- 3 | A. Thank you.
- Q. During the break did you discuss the substance of your testimony with anybody?
- 6 | A. I spoke with my attorney, Seth Andrews.
- Q. Without revealing what you discussed with your attorney, Mr. Andrews, did you discuss the
- 9 substance of your testimony?
- 10 A. No.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Mr. Macris, let's turn to Exhibits E and F. Mr. Macris, have you seen Exhibits E
- and F before today?
- 14 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. What do you understand Exhibits E and F to be in your own words, if you know?
- 17 A. In my own words this is my objection to what
  18 Specialized Loan Servicing is saying, that
  19 they are right. That is my guess.
  - Q. Fair enough. You're not an attorney. Exhibit
    E is plaintiff's response to defendant
    Specialized Loan Servicing LLC's request for
    admission, and I just read that off the
    document itself. Exhibit F is plaintiff's
    amended response to defendant Specialized Loan



Servicing LLC's request for admissions.

Mr. Macris, these requests for admissions, which are labeled 1 through 6, relate to documents that SLS asked you questions about. So request number one was to admit plaintiff acknowledged and executed the note and mortgage that are subject of the debt, annexed hereto as Exhibit A, so that's referring to what we've marked in this deposition as Exhibits B and C.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And you admitted that those -- that you did execute those documents, that you admitted that in what is labeled as Exhibit E and you admitted that in your testimony earlier?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Request number two says admit plaintiff failed to uphold his obligations under the subject debt. Your response on this one, your attorney served some objections and ultimately it states that plaintiff denies this.
- 22 A. Are we looking at E or F?
- Q. They are the same, so you can look at E or F.
- 24 A. Okay. Can you repeat.
  - Q. The request in number two is for you to admit



- that you failed to uphold your obligations
  under the subject debt. In both E and F, you
  deny that?
  - A. Yes.

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. You stated earlier that you didn't make payments after 2009 under Exhibits B or C,
  Defendant's Exhibits B or C, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
  - Q. And you stated earlier that you were obligated to make payments under B and C in 2008 when you executed them, correct?
- 12 | A. Correct. It's either me or my wife.
- Q. Let's go back to Exhibits B and C, because we covered that earlier. It's both independently obligated. We read that provision.
- 16 A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you recall that now?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if you didn't make payments and you stated earlier today your wife did not make payments starting in 2009, does that not constitute a failure to uphold obligations under the subject note?
- 24 | A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. So can you then explain why you denied



that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Because I was alleviated from the mortgage by court.
- Q. Okay. So let's break that down a bit because you keep saying alleviated from the mortgage.

  Are you aware that Exhibits B and C are separate documents?
- 8 | A. Yes.
  - Q. One is a note and one is a mortgage?
- 10 A. Explain the difference between both of them.
  - Q. Sure. Let me ask you if you understand what a mortgage is. Do you understand what a mortgage is?
    - A. A mortgage is I borrow money from the bank and I have to pay the bank back for a house, for a property, my understanding of it.
    - Q. Okay. So if you borrow money to buy a house and you have to pay a bank back, is that not what Exhibit B covers?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Okay. So you're unaware that Exhibit C is a legally distinct document? That's called a mortgage and it's securing Exhibit B with property?
      - A. Well, of course I agree with you, but I don't



1 know.

- Q. Okay. So the distinction between a note and a mortgage is not something you're fluent in?
- 4 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. Going to request number three, admit that plaintiff has been in default under the subject debt since October 1, 2009, and that's the same in E and F, as is your answer is the same in E and F. After your attorney's objections, you state that you deny that?
  - A. I don't know what the status of it was, so that's why I'm denying it.
  - Q. Sitting here today you don't have any evidence that you, yourself, has made a mortgage payment since October 1, 2009, to current?
  - A. Correct.
- O. Okay. Questions 4 and 5 are where these get 17 interesting, more interesting. 18 In Exhibit E 19 for question number four, request number four, 20 it says admit plaintiff signed the loan 21 modification application dated December 20, 22 2011, annexed hereto as Exhibit B. Do you see that question? 23
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And in Exhibit E, after asserting objections,



- you state plaintiff denies. Do you see that?
- 2 A. What part?

- Q. The very end of your response.
- 4 A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Now to make sense of this before we turn on to F, let's look at what Exhibit B is. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit K. That might be the wrong one. I'm going to hand you Exhibit G and Exhibit H. So
- if you look at Exhibits G and H, Exhibit G is
  a document that states it is an amended and
- restated note. Do you see that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. And on the last page, SLS038, do you see your name typed with a signature above it?
- 16 A. My name is on there with a signature.
- Q. And it's dated 12/20/11 next to a signature above your name?
- 19 A. Next to my name, not above.
- 20 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then in Exhibit H, this is titled
- commitment to modify mortgage, and on the last
- 24 page SLS035 it has Catherine Macris with a
- signature dated 4/20/2010, and Mark K. Macris



- with a signature above it that's dated 12/20/11. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And there's one more piece to the
  puzzle. It's Exhibit I. Exhibit I, the front
  page is a series of bar codes and it's called
  loan modification, and it's Bates labeled
  SLS025. Do you see that?
- 9 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Then next page is Bates labeled SLS026, and the document is titled loan modification agreement. Do you see that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then turning to page SLS028, at the top it says signed and accepted this 20th day of December, 2011, and it has your name typed on a line with a signature above that typed name. Do you see that?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. And below that it is a notary block. Do you see that?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. And the notary states that in State of New
  York, County of Erie, on this 20th day of
  December, 2011, before me the undersigned, a



- notary public in and for said state personally 1 appeared Mark K. Macris. Do you see that? 2
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person or persons whose name or names is are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledge Do you see that? that he executed the same.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then that's signed by a notary whose name is Rebecca Everleth. It states she was qualified as a notary in Niagara County, and gives her notary number, and at the time her notary commission expired in April 26th of Do you see that? 2015.
- A. Yes.
- Let's just start with this document. Is that your signature? 18
- A. I don't recall signing this document. 19
  - That's an answer to a question I didn't Q. Okay. My question is does that appear to be your signature?
  - A. That does not appear to be my signature.
    - Q. Okay. So in the notary block if that's not your signature, then that notary block signed



- by Ms. Everleth, she's incorrect?
- A. If you say so, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. I am asking you if that -- if you didn't sign that, then her statement that you appeared before her and signed it would be incorrect?
  - A. I don't remember signing this document. That appears to not be my signature.
  - Q. Okay. That wasn't my question. My question is if that's not your signature then Ms.

    Everleth is not being truthful in that notary statement, is she?
  - A. If that's the notary law, yes.
- Q. I'm not asking about notary law. We read what it says. She states that in New York, County of Erie, on the 20th day of December, 2011, before me before said state personally appeared Mark K. Macris known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledge that he executed the same. Do you understand what that says?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. She's saying you appeared in front of her on that date and signed this document?



- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. So again, my question was if you are stating
- that that is not your signature, then her
- 4 | statement is incorrect?
- 5 | A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to understand
- 7 | why Ms. Everleth, a notary, would make that
- 8 | statement if it was not true?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | O. Do you know Ms. Everleth?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Is it your understanding a notary -- you
- described it earlier, the notary's purpose is
- 14 to verify identity that someone is signing a
- document is who they say they are, correct?
- 16 | A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. So if that wasn't your signature, this notary
- did not do her job in your own words?
- 19 | A. Correct.

- 20 | O. Is it your testimony today that you did not
- 21 sign this or you don't remember signing this?
- 22 A. I don't recall signing this document.
- 23 Q. Is it possible you signed it?
- 24 A. I don't recall signing the document.
  - Q. Okay. But is it possible you did?



- 1 A. I can't answer that question.
- Q. So it's not possible that you signed this document?
  - A. I don't recall signing a document that is dated six, seven years ago. I don't recall signing this document.
    - Q. Okay. But if you don't recall, isn't it possible that you did sign it?
    - A. It's possible.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Q. Looking at request number five -- sorry. Request number four, where we asked for you to 11 admit that you signed the loan modification 12 13 application dated December 20, 2011, annexed 14 hereto as Exhibit B, which is referring to 15 this document, you state that you deny that in 16 Exhibit E? So in Exhibit E, you deny that you 17 signed this document. That's what you say in 18 Exhibit E. You deny it?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit F, same request, number four, now again, Exhibit F is your amended answer, so it's amending Exhibit E.

    If you look at page 3 on request number four, you've changed your answer from denying that you signed that, Exhibit I, to now you say



that plaintiff admits that the signature bears his name and is notarized, but does not recall signing the document. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. What caused this change from Exhibit E to F?
  - A. I don't recall signing this document. If I don't recall signing something, then how can I specifically say to you that I signed it or I didn't sign it?
  - Q. I agree. So go back and look at Exhibit E and look at request number four. Exhibit E, you specifically say you did not sign it.
  - A. That is not my signature. That's not my signature.
  - Q. Okay. So which is the correct answer, is it Exhibit E or Exhibit F? Is it it's not your signature or you don't recall?
- A. Both. That is not how I make my signature. I don't recall signing this document.
  - Q. Okay. But in Exhibit F, you state that it -you admit that the signature bears your name
    and is notarized.
- 24 A. It has my name.
  - Q. In Exhibit E you outright denied it?



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. What part are you referring to on E?
- Q. Request number four, the final two words of your response, plaintiff denies.
- 4 A. That appears to not be my signature. I don't recall signing the document.
  - Q. Okay. But you said just a minute ago that if you can't recall whether you signed it, you can't state that yes, you signed it, or no, you didn't. That's your testimony. We can read it back.
  - A. Correct. If I don't recall signing something, then how can I say yes or no?
  - Q. But you did say no in Exhibit E.

MR. ANDREWS: I am going to object. We supplemented the response, so he has provided you with his response. You keep asking him. What is it --

MR. MCGRATH: State your objection and move on. Answer the question.

MR. ANDREWS: Repeat the question.

(The question was read)

- Q. Can you answer the question?
- 25 A. I don't recall signing this document in 2011.



That does

MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS I am looking at the signature of this 1 It does not appear to be my document. 2 I don't recall signing this 3 signature. It has my name, there's a notary on document. 4 there, and there is a signature. 5 not appear to be my signature and I don't 6 recall signing the document. 7 8 9 1.0 I? 11 12 question. 13 14 15 I don't sign my name that way. 16 17 18

Q. Sitting here today, do you stand by your response in Exhibit E, that you deny -- where you say plaintiff denies having signed Exhibit

- A. If I can't recall, I can't answer that But what I I can't say yes or no. am saying is that that signature here does not appear to be my signature. I am left-handed.
- Q. So the answer to my question is yes or no, you stand by the answer you provided in Exhibit E?
- A. I can't answer that question. I can't answer that question.
- Q. Why can't you answer the question of whether you stand by your response in Exhibit E? Object again. Request MR. ANDREWS: number four --

This is not your MR. MCGRATH:



19

20

21

22

23

24

deposition. I'd like an answer. 1 2 A. Repeat the question. 3 (The question was read) 4 5 A. As to what? 6 7 O. Request number four. A. I can't recall. I can't recall. 8 9 O. You can't --A. Signing this document. 10 Q. You can't recall sitting here today whether 11 12 you stand by your response to --MR. ANDREWS: Objection. That's not 13 what he testified to. 14 MR. MCGRATH: Do not interrupt my 15 16 question, counsel. O. You do not recall sitting here today whether 17 you stand by your answer to request number 18 19 four in Exhibit E? A. That information here that you're referring to 20 21 has my name and there is a notary on it, and 22 there is a signature above my name. recall signing the document. So how can I 23

answer yes or no to your statement?

can't recall, I can't say yes, I can't say no.



24

25

If I

I	don't	recall	signing it.	Ιt	doesn't	look
like my		signatu	ire.			

- Q. I'm asking you about your answer.
- A. I already gave you my answer.
- Q. No. Your answer in Exhibit E, request number four, where you deny having signed the loan modification application dated December 20, 2011. I am asking whether you stand by that answer?

MR. ANDREWS: I'm going to instruct him not to answer anymore. He's answered your question numerous times. Just because you don't like the answer, ask a different question. He answered the same question multiple times now. We'll get the court on the phone now.

MR. MCGRATH: Are you directing the witness not to answer a question that's been posed that does not implicate privilege?

MR. ANDREWS: Absolutely. He's provided you the answer.

MR. MCGRATH: The answer is you're directing your witness not to answer the question that does not recall for the revelation --



1	MR. ANDREWS: He already answered the				
2	question.				
3	MR. MCGRATH: Counsel, my question is				
4	are you directing your witness not to answer a				
5	question that does not call for the revelation				
6	of attorney client privilege?				
7	MR. ANDREWS: You can just ask the same				
8	question over and over and get the same				
9	response. We'll sit here for the seven hours.				
10	MR. MCGRATH: I'm going to object to you				
11	to speaking objections and coaching				
12	MR. ANDREWS: I'm not coaching.				
13	MR. MCGRATH: Counsel, I am going to				
14	move on. It's my deposition, not yours.				
15	MR. ANDREWS: That's fine.				
16	MR. MCGRATH: You are not allowed to				
17	coach your witness.				
18	MR. ANDREWS: There's no coaching going				
19	on.				
20	MR. MCGRATH: You absolutely just				
21	coached the witness.				
22	MR. ANDREWS: Absolutely no coaching				
23	going on.				
24	MR. MCGRATH: I'm going to instruct you				
25	not to coach your witness.				



MR. ANDREWS: Duly noted. 1 2 BY MR. MCGRATH: 3 O. Mr. Macris, back to my question you have not 4 5 answered, do you stand by your answer to request number four in Exhibit E? Yes or no? 6 7 A. I don't recall signing the document. Q. The question is yes or no, do you stand by 8 your answer in request number four in Exhibit 9 10 E? A. I can't answer that question. I don't recall 11 If I don't recall 12 signing the document. signing a document, counselor, how can I say 13 14 yes or no? 15 O. Mr. Macris, I'm not asking you about whether you signed the document. I'm asking you about 16 17 your answer to question number four in Exhibit Ε. 18 MR. ANDREWS: Can we go off the record. 19 20 21 (Discussion held off the record) 22 BY MR. MCGRATH: 23 Q. Mr. Macris, is your answer to question number 24

four the answer you provided in Exhibit E or



F?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. F. I'm going to read. I, Mark Macris, admit that the signature bears his name and is notarized but does not recall signing the document.
  - Q. Thank you. So does that mean that you do not -- that your answer in request number four in Exhibit E is no longer your answer?
- A. It's F. I don't recall signing the document.
- Q. So the answer to my question is yes, Exhibit F
  is your answer to request number four?
- 12 A. Yes. I don't recall signing the document.

  13 Let's move on.
  - Q. I get to decide when we move on.
- 15 A. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
  - Q. Request number five, in Exhibit E, it asks admit plaintiff signed the amended and restated note dated December 20, 2011. That is Exhibit G. It's page SLS038. You see your answer in Exhibit E is you deny that you signed that document on page SLS038, that was your answer in Exhibit E?
- 23 A. That's not my signature.
- Q. My question is in Exhibit E, you deny that that's your signature, right, that you deny



signing it, right?

- A. That is not my signature, correct.
  - MR. ANDREWS: He's asking your response.
- A. Okay. I'm on Exhibit E.
  - Q. Request number five, the question was admit plaintiff signed the amended and restated note dated December 20, 2011?
- 8 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And your answer was plaintiff denies?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Is that your answer to that question?
- 12 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Turn to Exhibit F, same question, as we had with request number four, your answer changes. In Exhibit F, your amended response, you state plaintiff admits that the signature bears his name but does not recall signing the document. So again, so we don't go back and forth on this, is Exhibit E or Exhibit F your answer to question number five?
  - A. Okay. I think you're trying to confuse me. I am going to tell you that this here, this signed document, I think you're trying to confuse me.
  - Q. That's not the document we're talking about.



- We're talking about Exhibit G. Mr. Macris,

  I'm not trying to confuse you. I'm trying to

  understand your answers. You gave us two sets

  of answers to these two questions.
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I'm trying to understand what changed.

  After I figure out what changed, which of those answers is your testimony today. We're clear on that?
- 10 | A. Sure.
  - Q. Okay. What I'm asking is is your answer to request number five that you provided in Exhibit E or F, the answer that you stand by today?
- 15 A. F.
  - Q. Okay. Again, like I asked with question four, what brought about the change to your response to question five?
  - A. What exhibit are you looking at?
    - Q. So you have to look at Exhibits E and F. In

      E, which your attorney provided to us in

      January of this year, January 18th, you

      provided a different answer than your attorney

      provided to us yesterday. What I'm asking is

      what happened between January and April that



caused you to change your answer?

- A. What request are you looking at?
- Q. Number five.

A. If I can't remember signing a document, I don't remember what I signed last week just as an example or whatever. If I can't recall signing a document, then how can I say that that is my signature or I signed it yes or no? If I can't recall, I cannot answer that question.

Could it be? Yes. Could it be no? It could be no. If I can't recall, I can't specifically say yes, I signed that, or no, I didn't sign that. I don't recall. That signature does not appear to be my signature. That's my answer.

- Q. Okay. I agree with your theory, except in Exhibit E you did specifically deny signing it.
- A. Well if I can't recall, then maybe it's not mine. If I can't recall, then I deny it.
- Q. But you just said you can't -- if you can't recall, you can't --
  - A. You're making an assumption.
    - Q. I'm asking you --



- 1 MR. ANDREWS: Don't argue back and 2 forth. Just answer his question.
  - Q. I'm asking you a question on your answer,
    which was if you can't recall, you can't say
    yes or no --
  - A. I cannot say yes or no, correct.
- 7 | Q. And yet, in Exhibit E you did say no.
- 8 | A. Yes, I said no.
- 9 Q. Okay. So back to my question, between January
  10 and April, what caused you to change your mind
  11 from no, to I don't recall?
- 12 A. Nothing. Because I don't recall signing the document.
- Q. But nothing changed from January to April that brought about this changed answer?
- 16 | A. No.

4

5

- Q. Okay. I am going to hand you what's been premarked as Defendant's Exhibit J. You can start stacking these up, because we're -- I don't want you to get confused there. Have you ever seen what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit J before?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Just looking at Exhibit J, you'll see at the top it's dated April 29, 2010?



1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And looking at the second page, SLS024, the document is signed by Catherine M. Macris. Do you see that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that was your -- that is your ex-wife, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. All right. You can set that exhibit aside.

    Actually going back to Exhibit J, your ex-wife stated in April 29, 2010, she says unfortunately at this time Mr. Macris refused to sign his portion of the loan modification.

    Do you recall discussing a loan modification with your ex-wife in April of 2010?
  - A. I recall discussing a loan modification. I don't know the specific period of time, though. I would say it was probably sometime around this time, but -- yes.
  - Q. Your wife stated, at least as of this date, you refused to sign a loan modification. Is that with your memory of your conversations?
  - A. Absolutely.
    - Q. Why did you refuse to sign a loan modification?



- A. I was instructed by my attorney to not sign a loan modification.
- 3 | Q. Your attorney, being your divorce attorney?
- 4 | A. Emilio, correct.
- Q. Did your wife simply take that answer and go
   away or did she continue to try to get you to
   sign the loan application?
- 8 A. I don't recall what she did.
- 9 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been
  10 premarked as Defendant's Exhibit N. Within it
  11 is page Bates labeled SLS039 and it is a
  12 letter to BAC Home Loan Servicing LP, and it's
  13 signed by Catherine M. Schaefer. Have you
  14 ever seen this document before?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Is Catherine M. Schaefer the current name of your ex-wife?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. In this letter, your ex-wife discusses her attempts to modify the mortgage with the lender.
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. And in the end of the first full paragraph,
  she states finally, December of 2009, he
  signed the paperwork and then returned them to



me just recently. Do you see that?

- A. December of 2011.
- Q. Sorry. What did I say?
- A. 2009.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Q. Sorry. That's correct. 2011. Let's read the whole paragraph for the record. My husband walked out on me and kids in October, 2008. I struggled for two years to keep things afloat by myself with no child support or assistance.

In early 2010, I applied to modify my mortgage, I received the paperwork, filled it out, and gave Mr. Macris the paperwork to sign. He refused after pleading with him to do so. That was just your testimony a few minutes ago?

- A. Correct.
- Q. She then states finally, December of 2011, he signed the paperwork and then returned them to me just recently. Do you recall that?
- A. No.
- Q. So are you asserting that your wife -- ex-wife is incorrect in stating that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That is not a true statement?
  - A. I don't remember giving her any of this



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

- paperwork. I don't recall that.
- Q. So I want to be precise here. Do you not recall whether that's true or is that not true, that last sentence? You've established earlier they're two separate things.
- A. Right. I don't recall -- I don't know about this letter. What Catherine Schaefer is stating that I signed the paperwork and then returned to me, I just don't remember that.

  How could I remember something like that from seven, six years ago or so? So I believe that her statement is incorrect.
- Q. Because you don't remember that?
- 14 A. Like I said, we'll go back to it, I don't

  15 remember signing those loan modifications.

  16 Yes, it bears my name and there is a notary on

  17 there. That signature has my name but does

  18 not appear to be my signature.
  - Q. Back to my original question, is it your testimony today that Ms. Schaefer's statement is incorrect because it did not happen or because you do not remember?
  - A. I don't remember.
  - Q. In paragraph 4, she states that mortgage checks are being sent to his address and as we



went to cash these checks so I could continue to pay the mortgage, he conned, stole the monies from me even though he knows the money came from my bank account. He says because his name, end all caps, is on the check, he gets half, so what does a single mom do? Setting aside her emotion in that, that was not particularly pleasant for you, what do you believe she is saying, that you conned her of money with the mortgage payments?

- A. I don't know. I don't know why she put this information to BAC Home Loan.
- Q. Did you have any process where mortgage checks were sent to your address?
- A. I can't recall that. I -- the -- I don't recall, no.
- Q. So as you sit here today under oath, you don't recall a process where your ex-wife mailed you mortgage checks to pay the mortgage?
- A. She mailed me mortgage checks? No.
- Q. Earlier today we discussed a foreclosure action that was brought against you initially and your ex-wife to foreclose on the property at 403 Teakwood Terrace. Do you recall that line of questioning?



A. Yes.

- Q. And you kept stating in earlier questions on your complaint that there was a judicial order, a court order that relieved you of your obligations on the mortgage?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. I'd like you to look again, Mr. Macris, at what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit D. Defendant's Exhibit D is several documents, it's all collated into one. These were materials that your attorney provided to us in discovery.

Starting with the document -- at the top of the document -- on the upper right it's called summons and notice. Let me find that for you in this document. For ease of the deposition, I've put two Post-it notes on the pages in D I want you to refer to. Looking first at the first section of Exhibit D, it's the summons and notice, it's electronically stamped in Erie County Clerk's Office 3/16/2015. Do you see that page?

- A. Yes.
- Q. If you continue reading that, behind that summons and notice is a document called

verified complaint. All together with exhibits -- the summons and notice and verified complaint, we'll count the pages here so there's no confusion, it's 37 pages. I'm just stating that so our transcript can be clear on what we're looking at.

So looking on the first page and the fifth page, the first page is called summons and notice and the fifth is called verified complaint. This is a court document, plaintiff is US Bank National Association, as trustee for the SROF-2013-SE Remic, R-E-M-I-C, trust one versus Mark Macris, Catherine Macris, and John Doe and Mary Doe. Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever seen these two documents?
- 18 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What do you understand them to be?
  - A. That the house is going to be foreclosed on and there's a legal process on how they need to foreclose on the house. That's what I'm interpreting information as.
  - Q. And you're named in this as a defendant, right?



1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. What is your understanding of what foreclosure means in your own mind?
  - A. Foreclosure means that after a certain amount of time when you don't pay the bank what is owed on your obligation, that they have a right legally to take it from you.
  - Q. Okay. That's pretty good. And after receiving these documents, did you go to court on this action?
- 11 A. After I received these documents?
- 12 | Q. Correct.
- 13 A. Not initially, no.
- 14 | Q. Did you ever go to court?
- 15 | A. Yes, I did go to court.
  - Q. Do you recall when you went to court? Just to help you out here, these documents were filed March 16th of 2015.
    - A. I would say maybe -- guessing maybe a couple months after that.
- MR. ANDREWS: Just so the record is
  clear, are you asking if he filed anything or
  made an appearance?
- MR. MCGRATH: Just went to court.
  - A. I did go to court.



- Q. Do you recall going to court in what's called a foreclosure settlement conference in June of 2015?
- A. Mm-hmm. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Okay. And at that settlement conference, do you recall what happened?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And what happened?
  - A. I appeared before the court with my divorce attorney, I believe, and explained to them that I don't have any legal right to this property anymore, I signed over the deed, and that I should follow up with I believe -- I believe at the time follow up with the foreclosure attorneys, because they were the ones handling the mortgage and the note and everything that goes along with that.

They asked me if I was planning on keeping the house I believe, I said no, I haven't lived in that house in six or seven years.

That was -- if I can recall, that was what the conversation was about.

Q. Okay. At the time you went to the conference in June of 2015, was your daughter still living with your ex-wife at that time?



- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And at that time, was your son still living with you?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So earlier you stated that your concern over the foreclosure is that your daughter would lose her home, correct?
- 8 | A. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. So when you went to the conference in June of 2015 and were asked about retaining the house, at that time were you still concerned about retaining the house for your daughter?
- A. I believe that I knew she was going to have other plans to leave the house and get an apartment, so I didn't have any concerns about the house.
- Q. When you say she, you mean your daughter or your ex-wife?
- 19 A. My daughter was only three. My ex-wife.
- 20 Q. Okay. So your daughter was three in 2015?
- 21 A. I'm sorry. My daughter was born in 2007, so 22 she was eight.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall what happened after -were you told at that conference -- strike
  that. She never strikes it. She writes down



- strike that. What were you told after that conference in 2015 regarding your assertion that you were removed from your obligations on the property?
- A. That I should -- that I should follow up with Davidson Fink, who was the foreclosure attorneys.
- Q. Okay. So at that conference the court didn't tell you you were relieved of your obligations?
- A. No.

- Q. I'm going to give you what has been premarked as Exhibit U. Mr. Macris, I've handed you what's been premarked as Exhibit U. These are copies of an email exchange between you and Davidson Fink, that your attorney, Mr. Andrews, provided to our office yesterday. Have you seen these emails before?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Starting at the end of the chain, page 3, which is actually the beginning of the chain, correct? That's how emails work? It puts the oldest one at the bottom?
- 24 | A. Yes.
  - Q. May 18, 2015, a Kurt Odenbach from Davidson



Fink wrote to you that he was in receipt of your email to Aaron Prestige because I am now the attorney handling the file. I have reviewed the matter and agree that we can drop you from the above reference, I think he means referenced, action, we will be able to do so at the next file pleading. Do you see that?

8 | A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. What did you understand that to be?
- A. I understood that to be that the foreclosure attorney was going to not hold me responsible for the mortgage and the debt at 403 Teakwood Terrace.
- Q. Does that email state that?
- 15 | A. No.
  - Q. Let's continue up the chain, or I guess your response. You respond to him on June 23, 2015, good morning, Mr. Odenbach, please forward me the appropriate documentation that will honor my divorce agreement, alleviating me from the obligation and delinquency of this debt. You cite the index number.

You state after appearing at the unified court system 8th judicial district foreclosure conference on 6/19/2015, I was advised by



counsel and the clerk of this court to obtain the appropriate paperwork for this matter from your office. My appearance at this conference was to show you court certified copies of my divorce agreement that was approved by the Honorable John O'Donnell, State of New York Supreme Court. Thank you, Mark K. Macris. Did I read that correctly?

9 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. And the exhibit is in the record. That's consistent with your testimony earlier, that you went to a conference on June 19, 2015, right?
- 14 | A. Correct.
  - Q. It's almost directly verbatim to your testimony about what happened at the conference, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
  - Q. You said you showed up and had a divorce decree and they told you to show up with the appropriate paperwork, right?
- 22 | A. Yes.
  - Q. And Mr. Odenbach responds to that email much later, September 10, 2015, so now we're up to the first page of the document, he says Mr.



Macris, I apologize for the delay on this matter, but I have been working with my client to try to convince them that we can drop you from the action. The issue, and I apologize for not raising it before, is that you signed the note, and thus are still obligated to repay the debt owed.

If you could provide me with your divorce decree, then I may be able to convince them to waive the pursuit of the deficiency and drop you from our action. Did I read that accurately?

A. Yes.

- Q. What did you understand that to mean?
- A. I had phone conversations with Mr. Kurt
  Odenbach, not only email conversations but
  phone conversations, and Mr. Odenbach informed
  me through phone conversations that he has to
  go through a process to alleviate me from this
  debt and mortgage, legally to convince the
  bank to drop me from what is owed and the
  obligation of this mortgage.

He said there's a long process to do that, but he's working on it, yes.

Q. Okay. Did Mr. Odenbach ever provide you



- written confirmation that the lender agreed to waive your obligations under the note?
  - A. I asked for it, but he said he can't give that information.
  - Q. So the answer is you do not have written --
  - A. I don't have the written -- I don't have that written statement or agreement from Mr.

    Odenbach.
  - Q. Okay. But as you've stated earlier, you were dropped as a defendant in the foreclosure, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

19

20

24

- Q. And what I am understanding Mr. Odenbach's email is there's two separate things that he was working on for you.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what were those two separate things?
  - A. To drop me from this foreclosure action and hold me not responsible for this mortgage.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. And there's a legal process on how he has to do it.
  - Q. And you ultimately got dropped from the foreclosure action, correct?



## MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

April 25, 2018

A. Yes.

- 2 | Q. And now let's turn to the second tab in
- 3 | Exhibit D. It's my second flag. It's a court
- 4 document labeled order of reference, and it's
- 5 dated at the top Erie County Clerk,
- 6 November 20, 2015. On the second page, midway
- 7 down it says ordered that Mark Macris be
- 8 dropped from the caption as said defendant is
- 9 no longer a necessary party. Do you see that?
- 10 | A. Mm-hmm.
- 11 | Q. Is that what you were referring to earlier,
- 12 | the court order you had?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Does that sentence say you are relieved of
- 15 your obligations on the note?
- 16 A. That sentence doesn't say that, no.
- 17 Q. Does that sentence say you have been removed
- 18 from the mortgage?
- 19 A. It's not spelled out that way, no.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So looking at this entire order of
- 21 reference, which is four pages, can you point
- 22 to anywhere within those four pages where the
- court orders that you be removed from the
- 24 mortgage?
- 25 A. This is a legal document. I'm not an



attorney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Understood.
- A. So the conversations and correspondence I had with Davidson Fink was that this will alleviate you from the mortgage obligation on Teakwood Terrace. I'm not an attorney. I can't read through this and interpret a legal document.
- Q. Okay. Understood. But earlier you testified that in your complaint, which is Exhibit A, where you state in paragraph 24 and again in paragraph 34 that you were removed from the deed and mortgage and has a court order of November, 2015 that acknowledges such. You said that court order is what removed you from the mortgage.
- A. Correct. That's what they told me.
- Q. And that's what you state in the complaint?
- A. Yes. They said this is how they will remove me from the mortgage. Foreclosure attorney

  Mr. Odenbach has to go to the bank to do that.
- Q. And so my question is you said this document is what you're referring to as having removed you from the mortgage. My question to you again is in these four pages of the order of



## MARK MACRIS MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS

April 25, 2018 100

- reference, can you point to a sentence,

  paragraph, or clause that specifically states

  you are removed from the mortgage?
  - A. Sure. My name isn't here anymore.
  - Q. So you've been dropped as a defendant. That's what you're pointing to?
  - A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But I'm asking you if there's a sentence in there that says you've been removed from the mortgage?
  - A. Is there an exact wording that I have been removed from the mortgage?
- 13 | Q. Yes.
  - A. According to this document, Catherine Macris is the defendant and there's a referee named Jason Dipasquale, who is supposed to compute the action for principal and interest on the note and mortgage on this loan. My name is removed. According to this legal document I am not a defendant anymore.
  - Q. Correct. We agree.
  - A. That is what the foreclosure attorneys told me, as soon as this document is done, I don't have any further obligation to this debt or this mortgage or note. That was the process.



- Q. Again, going back to your testimony earlier, as you understood Mr. Odenbach's email dated September 10, 2015, which is Defendant's Exhibit U, to be that there were two issues, one relieving you of your obligations on the note and mortgage, and two, dropping you from the case?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And is it not fair to say that what this document is does drop you from the case?
- 11 A. Dropped me from everything.
  - Q. Where does it say that second part?
    - A. That's what the attorneys told me.
    - Q. So is the answer to my question it doesn't say that in this document?
      - A. It doesn't say it in the document but that's what they told me.
      - Q. So the answer is it does not say that --
      - A. It does not say in this document Mark K.

        Macris is alleviated from the debt. It states
        I am not a defendant anymore and that there is
        another attorney who has to calculate the
        money that is owed to the bank, and I don't
        owe the money to the bank because my name
        isn't on there. I'm not on here. I'm done.



- Q. So that's your interpretation of this order of reference, that you're relieved from the note and mortgage?
  - A. From the attorney's office, correct. I am not an attorney, but that's what they advised me.
- Q. Understood. But you testified already, unless you want to change it, it does not say that in this document?
- 9 A. It doesn't say that, no.
- 10 Q. Let's move on. I have two more topics to
  11 cover. Do want to take a break or keep going?
- 12 A. Keep going.
- Q. Did there come a time when you disputed my
  clients reporting you as delinquent on the
  mortgage loan for the property at 403 Teakwood
  Terrace?
- 17 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 18 Q. Do you recall approximately when you disputed that, first disputed that?
- A. I guess I have to ask you a question. Are you talking a written dispute or phone dispute?
- Q. Let's start with the earliest dispute, whether it was written or by phone.
- A. Mm-hmm. It was -- I -- probably first by phone I would say.



- Q. Do you recall approximately when?
  - A. I would say initial correspondence was

    January, February, 2016, somewhere around
    there.
  - Q. Do you recall what prompted you to call them to dispute your being reported as delinquent on that loan?
- A. Do I recall contacting them? Yes
- Q. Sorry. Bad question. Do you recall what it was that raised this issue for you that you needed to dispute the credit report?
  - A. When you get a bill in the mail that says I owe \$159,000 and I'm -- that I owe Specialized Loan Servicing, yeah, I'm going to act on it.
  - Q. Okay. So you received correspondence from Specialized Loan Servicing stating you owed money on the mortgage loan for the 403 Teakwood Terrace?
- 19 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

- Q. Do you recall when you received that document?
- 21 A. Around that timeframe, 2016 or so.
- Q. Do you still have copies of that correspondence?
  - A. I do. I don't have them with me, but I have copies of correspondence that I received from

SLS, correct.

- Q. And did you turn over the copies of that correspondence to your attorney, Mr. Andrews?
- A. Not at that time, no.
  - Q. Okay. I'm going to direct Mr. Andrews that material be produced and I'm going to hold the deposition open to the extent that I need to ask additional questions on those documents that are responsive to document requests that were served in this action.

Okay. So after receiving those letters, you contacted SLS by phone?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall what you said generally?
- A. I spoke to a couple of representatives from SLS, one being named Tony, Bianca, and Linda. They never give me their last name, they weren't allowed to give me a last name.
- Q. Did they give you a badge number?
  - A. They did gave me ID numbers. I don't have them, but I did write them down and document who I spoke to. But yes, I did call them about their correspondence with me.
  - Q. Okay. And how did they respond to your verbal questions as to your obligations on this loan?



- Let me ask a better question. What did they say?
  - A. They say that I owe whatever the amount was, I believe I remember a statement saying \$159,000, and that I owe for this mortgage.

    We are -- SLS is a mortgage servicer, they're not the bank, and they're responsible for collecting money on this debt.
  - Q. Okay. So in those calls you had they didn't tell you you were not responsible for the mortgage debt?
- 12 A. No, they did not tell me that.
- Q. Okay. And did you then follow up with SLS in writing?
- 15 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

- 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall when?
  - A. I would say approximately April of 2016.

    Don't hold me to that date. I know it was sometime in that time period in 2016 I responded -- I disputed it with them, that I
- 21 don't owe the debt.
- 22 | Q. You're acting like it's a pop quiz.
- 23 A. I'm usually pretty good at dates.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
  Defendant's Exhibit T. For sake of moving the



deposition along, I marked all the correspondence back and forth on your credit dispute and loan modification process with SLS as one document, as Exhibit T, however, they are separate documents.

So we will start with the very first page, Mr. Macris. This is an undated letter from you to SLS. Do you believe this to be that first written correspondence, somewhere in April of 2016?

A. Right.

- Q. Okay. So in this letter, you ask SLS to look at the order of reference, the affirmation of Kurt Odenbach, an affidavit of Cynthia Wallace. You state I am ordered to be removed from the mortgage obligation of 403 Teakwood Terrace.
- A. Correct.
  - Q. You also state please remove any derogatory credit information listed in my credit reports as being reported?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Sorry to make you look at Exhibit D again, but Exhibit D includes the order of reference that you reference in there and it also includes



the -- it should -- the affidavit of Cynthia Wallace. So this first flag here is called plaintiff's affidavit and it's got a stamp Cynthia Wallace in there. So in your letter you state that you're relieved of the mortgage based on the affirmation of Kurt Odenbach and the affidavit of Cynthia Wallace.

While I look for the affirmation of Mr.

Odenbach, can you show me -- we already
covered the order of reference, you've already
stated under oath today that it does not use
the words you're removed from the mortgage in
that document?

A. Yes.

- Q. So can you look through the affidavit of

  Cynthia Wallace and show me where her

  affidavit states that you are removed from the

  mortgage?
- A. This isn't addressed to me. I'm not a defendant. I'm not on here.
- Q. Okay. I'm not sure -- my question is you state in this letter that upon the affidavit of Cynthia Wallace, you were ordered to be removed from the mortgage obligation. I'm asking you where in that document it says you



| were --

1

6

7

8

9

10

11.

1.2

13

14

15

16

19

20

25

- 2 A. This document I was not referring to when I filed this dispute.
- 4 | Q. What document are you referring to?
- 5 A. The order of reference, this one.
  - Q. The order of reference, okay. You state three documents, you state order of reference, affirmation of Kurt Odenbach, and the affidavit of Cynthia Wallace as ordering you

So what you're referring to in this email -- in this letter when you say I am ordered to be removed from the mortgage, you're saying that's pursuant to the order of reference, which we already discussed?

A. Yes. Not this one.

to be removed.

- Q. Okay. And not the affirmation of Kurt Odenbach?
  - A. He is the one who advised me to -- that is -- that's why I put affirmation of Kurt Odenbach.
- 21 | Q. Right. But --
- A. I had correspondence with Kurt Odenbach for this house. He said I will get you off of this, but this is the process.
  - Q. Okay. Understood. But when you're stating I



am ordered to be removed from the mortgage, you are saying the order that you're referring to is the order of reference signed by Judge James Dylan?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And we went through that document and we don't need to go through it again, but you conceded the document doesn't use those words you are to be removed from the mortgage, correct?
- A. The document doesn't say that -- the document says that I am not a defendant and I don't owe the money anymore. That is what the attorneys told me.
- Q. Where did it say you didn't owe the money anymore in the order of reference?
- A. Right here. Second last page, order that this action -- ordered that this action be in the same hereby as referred to as Jason Dipasquale as referee to ascertain and compute the amount due except attorneys fees to plaintiff in this action for principal and interest on the note and the mortgage, this all here.
- Q. So where in what you are reading does it say you are not relieved --



- A. I'm not a defendant anymore. The bank alleviated me and said I don't have to pay this debt anymore. That is what Kurt Odenbach told me, he can get me out of the obligation of this mortgage and note, this is how he has to do it. He called me up, I remember I was sitting at Panera Bread and he said you're free and clear, got to wait for the paperwork. Mr. Odenbach called me and told me that.
- Q. I understand what you believe to be the situation. I asked where in here does it specifically state you're relieved --
- A. I can't interpret a legal document. I'm not an attorney.
  - MR. ANDREWS: He's asking you for the verbiage.
- A. This is the verbiage, that.
  - Q. But you would agree this document does not state in specific words that you are relieved of the note and mortgage?
- A. It doesn't say Mark Macris is relieved from the note and mortgage. It does not state that in the order of reference.
  - Q. Okay. Let's move on to -- back to Exhibit T, the second page of Exhibit T is a letter from



- SLS to you dated May 23, 2016. Have you seen that letter before?
- A. Yep.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

- Q. And that's SLS's response to your inquiry that you should not be listed as owing the money on the note and mortgage, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. And in their letter, I'm not asking if you agree, they say that's incorrect, we determined you executed the note and mortgage and are responsible for the note and mortgage, correct?
  - A. That's what they're saying, correct.
  - Q. Okay. The next page, page 3, is another letter from SLS to you and to your ex-wife, Catherine Macris, it's dated July 28, 2016. Have you ever seen this document before?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. My first question on this is the 270 Miller Road address, I believe you said that is where you were living in 2013 to current, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That's in Getzville?
  - A. Yes.



- Q. So if this letter is dated Amherst and not Getzville, would it still arrive?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. So you received this letter?
- 5 A. Yes.

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And this is one of the letters you're
  referring to that you -- a similar letter
  initially triggered your inquiry that you got
  from SLS saying you owed money on this loan?

  Is that what this letter is saying?
  - A. One of the letters. I had online access to their -- see correspondence from them there, too.
- Q. Okay. Moving to the next letter, which starts as dated September 15, 2016, again from SLS, this one is to -- addressed to you and to your ex-wife, again, at the 270 Miller Road address?
- 19 | A. Mm-hmm.
  - Q. This is a letter discussing their review of loss mitigation options, or -- do you understand what that means, loss mitigation options? They're trying to look at ways to resolve the amounts due on the debt, right?
  - A. Mm-hmm.



- Q. And that's what this letter is explaining what they looked at?
- A. Yes.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

1.8

25

- Q. And then the next letter, that letter was five pages, the next is dated August 29, 2016.
- 6 A. Mm-hmm.
  - Q. Again, addressed to you and your ex-wife,
    Catherine, at your address, 270 Miller Road,
    and this is a letter stating that they
    received documentation regarding mortgage
    assistance but they did not have all the
    documents they needed to process the
    application. That's what this is saying,
- 15 | A. Yes.

right?

- Q. My question is did you submit loan modification application paperwork that generated this letter?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. So as you sit here today, do you know why you received this response?
- A. Because they called me and demanded my financial information. That's why.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And did you provide it?
  - A. Absolutely not.



April 25, 2018 114

- Q. Okay. That covers that document because that letter goes to the very last page. Do you want to look at the very last page?
- 4 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Have you ever seen this last page datedJanuary 20, 2017?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know what this is?
- 9 A. Acquisition or abandonment of secured property.
- 11 Q. Do you know what this means?
- 12 A. I really don't.
- Q. Okay. All right. You can set that aside. Is it fair to say after you disputed SLS's credit reporting to you, their position was they were reporting it correctly because you still owed on the note and mortgage?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. In your complaint you state that because you believe that reporting was incorrect, it affected your credit report, correct?
- 22 A. It destroyed my credit report.
- Q. I am going to show you Defendant's Exhibits Q,
  R, and S. These are three letters that your
  attorney provided to SLS in discovery



regarding credit decisions you received in 2016. Have you seen these letters before, Q, R, and S?

A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Starting with Q, what is Q?
- A. Q is a document from Capital One whereas I requested an increase on my credit line.
  - Q. Okay. And the result was they did not approve that?
- 10 A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And do they state anywhere on this letter that the reason they denied that credit line increase was because SLS was reporting you delinquent on your mortgage loan?
  - A. It's stating my credit score isn't high enough.
  - Q. Okay. So back to my question, no, they don't --
  - A. They don't reference SLS on this document.
  - Q. Okay. Taking a look at Exhibit R, can you identify what Exhibit R is?
  - A. Application from Bank of America.
    - Q. Okay. And this is Bank of America's denial letter to your application for a Bank of America cash rewards platinum plus Visa card,



April 25, 2018 116

1 | correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And this is denying it. Again, same question,
- 4 did they state anywhere in Exhibit R that you
- 5 | were denied this credit card because SLS was
- 6 reporting you as delinquent on your mortgage
- 7 | loan?
- 8 A. Doesn't state that, no.
- 9 Q. Okay. Turning to S, can you identify what S
  10 is?
- 11 A. Credit card application from Chase Bank.
- 12 Q. Okay. And this is a denial of that
- application. Again, my question, same as
- 14 before, does it state specifically here you
- were denied because SLS was reporting you
- delinquent on your mortgage loan?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | O. It does? Where does it state that?
- 19 A. It says I have a foreclosure or repossession
- or an early lease -- lease termination.
- 21 | Q. Okay. I see that. I'm asking does it state
- 22 anywhere SLS is reporting you?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. You fairly point out that one of the -- the
- 25 first item they state here is you have a



foreclosure or repossession or early lease termination, right? That's a compound sentence?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. It doesn't say which of those three, does it?
- A. It doesn't say which of those three, but I know it was on my credit report.
  - Q. The letter itself doesn't say which of the three, right?
  - A. I don't know if -- I don't know the fair credit reporting act or anything about that. I don't know if a lender or bank or if you're going to go buy a car or apply for a credit card, to my knowledge, is that they can't specifically list the name of a creditor on a denied application. I don't know that. We can assume, but is a creditor allowed to be listed on a denial credit? I don't know.
  - Q. I don't want to assume. I want to go back to my question, that that's a compound sentence fragment, right?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. So it's not identifying which of those three?
- 24 A. No, it's not.
  - Q. And in this there are three other sentence



April 25, 2018 118

- fragments, correct, as part of the denial decision?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- Q. The second is your credit report reflects charge-offs or bad debt?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. To your knowledge, did SLS charge off your 8 loan?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Do you know what bad debt collection is referring to in that statement?
- 12 | A. I don't know.
- Q. The next sentence fragment is your credit report reflects delinquent past, present
- obligations. Do you see that?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Do you know what that's referring to?
- 18 | A. Sure.
- 19 Q. What's that referring to?
- 20 A. That I have trade lines on my credit report 21 that I haven't paid.
- 22 Q. Okay. At that time, August 2nd of 2016, was
- 23 SLS the only thing on your credit report that
- 24 | was delinquent?
- 25 A. No.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What else was delinquent at that time in August of 2016?
- A. I had an American Express Card at that time that was delinquent.
- Q. Anything else in August of 2016?
- A. Specialized Loan Servicing, American Express,
  I had an auto loan that was paid but I had
  some missed payments on it. I don't remember
  all the other ones. There might have been one
  or two small accounts, but I remember I had a
  loan through Toyota Motor Credit that was paid
  in early 2013. The car wasn't repossessed but
  I had some late payments on that, and American
  Express and Specialized Loan Servicing.
  - That's my best recollection.
- Q. So as I'm understanding your testimony, in

  August of 2016, SLS reporting the mortgage

  loan delinquency was not the only delinquent

  reporting on your account, your credit report?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And the last line says credit report shows delinquency, public record, or bankruptcy. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know what that means or is referring



April 25, 2018 120

1 to?

- 2  $\mid$  A. I think it's a pretty broad statement.
- 3 | Q. I agree.
- 4 A. I don't know. It just says there's
- 5 delinquency, but it could refer to one of the
- 6 other points.
- 7 | Q. Okay.
- 8 A. It could refer to one of the other points, I
- 9 have delinquency and that's a statement by
- 10 Chase.
- 11 | Q. Okay. As of August 2, 2016, had you ever
- 12 | filed for bankruptcy in your entire life?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. Had you ever filed for bankruptcy?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. You can set those aside. Showing you
- what has been premarked as Defendant's Exhibit
- 18 P. Okay. Have you ever seen Defendant's
- 19 | Exhibit P before?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you'll agree Defendant's Exhibit P is
- 22 comprised of two different documents, correct?
- 23 | It is comprised of a credit report as of
- 24 May 19, 2016, and that constitutes the first
- 25 17 pages, and then it includes a report dated



July 27, 2016?

2 | A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. As you understand it, what are these two documents showing?
  - A. This is my credit report as provided by

    Experian dated 5/19/2016. The second document
    dated July 27, 2016, is a dispute result from
    a dispute I filed.
- 9 | Q. Okay.
- 10 | A. With Experian.
- Q. Looking at the May 19, 2016, report, turning to page 7 of that report, at the top it's reporting on American Express, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
  - Q. And that's the credit card that you were referring to in your earlier testimony that you were delinquent on?
- 18 A. Precisely.
- Q. And do you see in the payment status it's listed as charge-off?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know what charge-off means?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What do you understand charge-off to mean?
  - A. Charge-off means that I still owe the money



but the bank isn't actively or entity isn't actively pursuing me for the debt. They wrote it off but I still owe the money. That's what I know.

- Q. I litigate in this area and I'll tell you that is the best answer I've heard a layperson give on a charge-off. Do you understand the credit score implications of a loan that's been charged off?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What do you understand the affect on your credit score of a charge-off loan?
- A. 35 percent of your FICO score is your payment history. If you have a charge-off, your payment history is the highest percentage of how they tabulate your FICO or vantage. I don't even want to talk about vantage score, but 35 percent of your FICO score is from your payment history.

Now if you have a charge-off that will severely impact your credit score. I don't know by how many points, but it will impact your credit score.

Q. Another really good answer to a question that a lot of people don't understand.



- 1 A. Thank you.
- Q. Do you know approximately how long acharge-off stays on your credit report?
- 4 A. Seven years.
- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say this would be considered a derogatory remark on your credit report?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that this report would bring down your 10 credit score?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you stated you can't state and probably no one can how much brings that down?
- 14 A. Some expert probably can, but yeah.
- 15 Q. Down below it says Capital One?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. This is an account that was -- it's listed as open 3/1/2012 and account status listed as closed, and in the payment status, do you see it says paid, was past due 150 days? Do you
- 22 | A. Yes.

25

- 23 Q. Do you recall this credit account?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And was it, in fact, delinquent more than



see that?

April 25, 2018 124

- 1 150 days?
- 2 | A. No.
- Q. So this was an incorrect reporting on your credit report?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But as reported in May 19, 2016, that would be considered a derogatory remark,
- 8 correct?
- 9 | A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Turning to page 9, do you see child support enforcement at the bottom?
- 12 A. Mm-hmm.
- 13 | Q. What is that?
- 14 A. I pay child support, \$200 a month for my daughter.
- 16 Q. Okay. So it states in payment status current,
- was a collection account, insurance claim,
- government claim, or terminated for default.
- Do you know what that means?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever default on your child support payments?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Would you agree that as reported here that
  would be -- that could constitute a derogatory



- mark on your credit report?
- 2 A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Turning to page 10, credit first NA.

  Do you see that?
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. It was an account opened on 8/1/2010 and it's marked as closed. Do you see the payment status, it says charge-off?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that an accurate reporting? Was that one charged off?
- 12 | A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

- Q. So that would have the same negative affect as the American Express charge-off in terms of it being a derogatory mark on your credit report?
- A. A derogatory mark, yes.
- Q. Okay. Page 12, Specialized Loan Servicing, do
  you see it states there past due amount,
  balance and payment status is listed as
  foreclosure, proceedings started?
- 21 A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
  - Q. And your position is that is an incorrect reporting because you believe to have been relieved from the note and mortgage obligations on that loan?



April 25, 2018 126

- A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. Okay. Turning to the next page, page 13,
- 3 looking at the Toyota Motor Credit, is this
- 4 | the one you're referring to that has the past
- 5 due?

- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. And it reflects past due 60 days?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that account is now reflected as closed?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Is it your understanding that a past due
- 12 | 60 days would be considered a derogatory mark
- on your credit report?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the last one on this report, it's Verizon,
- 16 and it's account status closed, and a payment
- 17 status, it says legally paid in full for less
- than the full balance. Can you explain that
- 19 one?
- 20 | A. I'll try.
- 21 | Q. Okay.
- 22 | A. This account was my old phone service on
- 23 | Teakwood Terrace when I was married. My wife,
- 24 going through a divorce, I believe what she
- 25 did was she got rid of the Verizon service



somehow, some way, they sent her a bill and she agreed on an amount that was owed. But Verizon had it in my name so it was reported on my credit.

- Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that when you pay less than the full balancing on a loan to settle a debt that could be considered a derogatory mark on a credit report?
- A. Unless you can get the creditor to agree to not report it that way.
- Q. So unless you get that creditor, it would be considered a derogatory remark on a credit report?
- A. I would say that's an inaccurate statement.
- 15 | Q. Which part?

- A. If I can get them to agree to not report any interrogatory mark on your credit report in writing, then that's not derogatory.
- Q. If you can't get them to agree to report it as not paid in --
  - A. Yeah, then they could report it as potentially negative, which they did.
  - Q. Okay. So as reported on this credit report, that would be considered a derogatory mark on this credit report?



April 25, 2018 128

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Turning to the July report, again, we can go
- 3 through these quicker. On page 4, the first
- 4 item is the American Express, this is the
- 5 charge-off we already discussed?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. It's reporting it the same way?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. And this notes that this account is scheduled
- 10 to continue on record until March 20, '22. Do
- 11 you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. So is it your understanding this remark will
- 14 stay on until March of 2022?
- 15 A. That's what this report says.
- 16 Q. Turning to page 5, again, we have the
- 17 charge-off for credit first NA?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Above that we have the child support report?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Next page, page 6, we have the Specialized
- 22 Loan Servicing?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And one thing I want to note, on page 4 and 5,
- 25 the American Express, the credit first, and



1		the child support, the responsibility for each
2		of those is listed as individual, correct?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And that means that account is fully in your
5		name, right?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Whereas the SLS, you see it's a joint with
8		Catherine Macris?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	So it's your understanding you both signed the
11		note and mortgage?
12	A.	In 2008, yes.
13		MR. MCGRATH: Okay. And I think that
14		ends that document. Okay. Let me take five
15		minutes and then I will go to my last set of
16		questions and we'll get out of here.
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.
18		
19		(Recess taken)
20		
21		BY MR. MCGRATH:
22	Q.	Welcome back, Mr. Macris.
23	A.	Thank you.

Q. During the break did you discuss the substance

of your testimony today with anybody?



24

April 25, 2018 130

- A. No. Seth and I talked about the weather. I wanted to talk about the NFL draft but we ran out of time.
- Q. To the extent you don't already like me a lot, I'm a Dolphins fan. Mr. Macris, I am going to ask you some final questions on the credit disputes that you had with SLS and the affect it had on your life. In your initial disclosure statement that your attorney provided, it's called 26A1 disclosure, you state that you will provide testimony regarding your conversations with co-workers, family, neighbors relative, I don't know if that's a typo, whether it's neighbors relative to telephone conversations or it's supposed to be neighbors and relatives, to telephone conversations and/or letters received from the defendant. Can you tell me what you -- what conversations you're referring to, which defendant and --
- A. Can I see that?
- Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Exhibit V was marked for identification)



1.1

1.3

Q. All right. Handing you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit V. As I said, this is the initial disclosure that your attorney filed on your behalf in this action where it states factual information that you intend to offer in this case. If you look on page 1, Mark Macris will provide testimony regarding the following.

We've covered A, we've covered B. I don't need to ask you about those. But it's C, you say his conversations with co-workers, family, neighbors relative to telephone conversations and/or letters received from the defendant. What are you referring to?

- A. I discussed my matters with SLS with family members, friends, and the whole complete total dissatisfaction of this whole matter.
- Q. Okay. So you say co-workers, family, and neighbors. Who are these co-workers, family, or neighbors that you discussed these matters with?
- A. Okay. I will narrow it down to my family members. Do you want their names?
- Q. I believe in your interrogatories you stated your mother and sister I believe?



- 1 A. My mother, my sister, and my brother, and a2 couple of friends.
- Q. And what are the friends? Who are the friends?
- 5 A. Frederick Stone.
- 6 | Q. Who is Frederick Stone?
- 7 | A. A friend of mine.
- 8 | Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Charles Siminski, S-I-M-I-N-S-K-I.
- 10 | Q. Okay. Anyone else?
- 11 | A. I would say no.
- 12 | Q. Are any of these people co-workers?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. So did you have conversations with co-workers?
- 15 A. No, not with co-workers.
- 16 Q. Okay. Can you tell me about your
- conversations regarding your conversations and
- 18 letters with defendant SLS that you had?
- 19 Let's start with your mother.
- 20 A. My mother is my mother, and I over the course
- of many months while this was going on, I
- mean, she was my shoulder to cry on, and she
- 23 hoped -- obviously, I'm her son, I am her
- 24 youngest son, she wishes for the best for me
- 25 and a positive outcome.



I spoke with her many times in person, over the phone, just relayed to her my feelings and my distress with this matter.

Same with my sister.

- Q. Okay. Let's start with your mother. Other than things you told her, does she have any independent information about your complaint that you filed, your federal complaint?
- A. She knows what's going on.

MR. ANDREWS: She means factually.

- Q. Other than things you told her, does she have factual information relevant to your claims in your complaint?
- 14 | A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 Q. What about your sister?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | O. Brother?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. So for all three of those, you're referring to you telling them about what was going on with your credit issues?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. They didn't have any independent factual information that they were sharing with you?
  - A. I didn't give them any documents or anything



1 like that.

- Q. And they didn't have any additional documents that would be relevant to this action?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. Okay. What about Fred Stone?
- 6 A. No. Same with Fredrick and Charles.
- Q. Okay. So all five of these people, what
  you're referring to here is you communicating
  information to them about your experiences?
- 10 | A. Yes.
  - Q. So none of those five people have independent factual information relevant to the case?
- 13 | A. No.

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Turning back to Exhibit A, the complaint. In paragraph 7, you state defendants, Experian and SLS, negligently and willfully violated various provisions of the FCRA and plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, punitive damages, attorneys fees, and costs. I'm just going to ask you about the actual damages related to the credit reporting of SLS. Can you tell me what those actual damages are to you?
  - MR. ANDREWS: Just -- just with respect to the credit report you're asking?



MR. MCGRATH: Yes.

- Q. With the credit report, how SLS reporting you as delinquent on that loan and your view that you were relieved of those obligations, what are the damages that have resulted from that credit reporting?
- A. Are you asking me for a monetary amount or -I don't understand.
- Q. Either. Any damages you've suffered, financial, emotional, any other type of damage that I can't contemplate?
- A. Emotional.

- Q. Can you tell me a bit more about the emotional damages? What kind of emotional damages are you referring to?
- A. Emotional damages to me.
- Q. That damage being what?
  - A. Fear, anxiety, not able to sleep, not able to adequately provide for my children. I'm a single father with two children, problems concentrating on work. I'm going to elaborate on that quite a bit. My job requires me -- I'm a field inspector for New York State Workers' Comp. My job is to go to companies directed by the New York Board to verify



- operations for classification of risk. Are you familiar with that?
  - Q. Not really.

- 4 A. I don't want to bore you with New York State
  5 Workers' Comp. That's my expertise.
  - Q. Whatever you need to tell me to explain your fear, anxiety, sleep --
    - A. I'm going to tell you because it's my expertise. In New York State and other states companies are classified for Workers' Comp.

      Way back in 1914 when this system was established, by law companies are required to carry coverage, which we all know, but when you pay for your premiums it's based on what type of work that you do, whether you're a restaurant, manufacturing company, a machine shop, there's over 600 different classifications of work in the State of New York.

My board handles the classification system. I am a field employee. I work in Western New York, so I get assignments to me to go visit companies. I don't make appointments. I'm an inspector. By insurance law and their contract, they have to abide by

a board inspection.

So my job is to walk into a big
manufacturing company, I have business cards,
I have an ID card, and I have a badge. I tell
them who I am, purpose of my visit, and I ask
to meet with somebody who has an understanding
of the business operations. Not many people
can do my job, sir. I might get called into a
boardroom and sit in front of eight or nine
people questioning who I am, why I'm here, and
my organization in general.

Like I said, not many people can do my job to develop a quick rapport with these people and tell them the purpose of my visit. This thing put me through, excuse my language, hell. I couldn't sleep at night, my blood pressure was up, probably 150 to 160.

And yes, I was a trained EMT. I worked ten years on an ambulance, so I can definitely attest to having high blood pressure and know what the ramifications and affect of a high blood pressure are.

So a lot of times I lost my composure.

Here I am sitting in a meeting with ten

people, they're all starting at me wanting to



April 25, 2018 

know why I'm here, and my job is to ask specific questions to a company to do a verification of operations and to develop that quick rapport.

I lost my composure, I froze up quite a few times. I had to -- tense, and the anxiety overtook me quite a bit. So not only do I have to develop a quick rapport with people, I have to ask definitive questions about a risk or operation. More or less I have to verify what they do, what their process is, what do they make, what are the raw materials, what types of equipment do they have, how many employees work in their operation.

Not only that, I have to generally do a walkthrough of the facility to do verification and document raw materials, equipment, and gather all this information together. Not only that, but correspond with the insured, which is the company, to let them know the purpose of my inspection.

There's two folds to this. Not only did I have problems with my job of going to companies to do this, I had to make repeat visits, phone calls. The third part of it is



once I gathered my information on this company, I have to develop a comprehensive report. Very interesting.

I have to take my written notes, my documents, and file a report based on what they tell me, their operations, what they do, raw materials, how they build products, whatever. That information has to go to Manhattan. So I have to do that.

That's my job. If they have questions about my reports or anything, they get back to me. They send me an email back, Mark, we need you to clarify this operation or it's being returned for whatever reason.

This whole thing devastated me. I couldn't sleep at night, anxiety, high blood pressure. I had problems doing my job. Not only that, I had my children to take care of. I have a ten-year-old daughter who is my life. My son is 18 now, and he's kind of on his own. But when you're a single father, you have to provide for your children.

I don't have a wife or relatives that I live with. When those people demanded my financial information, demanded, this Tony,



April 25, 2018 140

- 1 | arrogant -- I'll start swearing about it.
- 2 Arrogant SOB. We need this, we need that.
- 3 Like no, you're not getting my financial
- 4 | information.
- 5 How do I know you're not going to garnish
- 6 | my wages, call my work, take my car? What
- 7 | happens if they take my car, it's a
- 8 possession, you owe \$153,000 or whatever, how
- 9 do I know they're not going to do that? If I
- 10 | don't have a car, sir, how am I going to work?
- 11 | So that's what it did to me when I was
- 12 working.
- And of course I had to come home and take
- 14 | care of my children. I suffered immensely. I
- 15 | leaned on my family and friends, as stated in
- 16 | the names that I gave you. Anxiety, fear,
- 17 embarrassment, humiliation. Humiliation. I
- 18 | was cleared of this and I got these people
- 19 | calling me, sending me letters, documents, you
- 20 | owe this money.
- No, I don't. They call me, your house is
- 22 getting foreclosed on September 27, 2016, what
- 23 | are you going to do about it? That's how I
- 24 | felt. It still bothers me. How do I know
- 25 | they're still not going to come after me for



- this debt? That's how I felt, and I still
  feel this way. It's a very sore subject with
  me. It will bother me for the rest of my
  life. Any other questions?

  Q. Yes. Did you ever receive treatment for let's
  - Q. Yes. Did you ever receive treatment for let's start with anxiety?
- 7 | A. No.

- Q. Were you ever diagnosed clinically with anxiety?
- 10 | A. No.
- Q. Were you ever prescribed medication for anxiety?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. What about loss of sleep? Were you ever diagnosed with insomnia?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Were you ever treated for insomnia?
- 18 | A. No.
- Q. Were you ever prescribed medication for insomnia?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. What about fear? Were you ever diagnosed with a mental health issue colloquially defined as fear or excessive fear?
- 25 A. No.



April 25, 2018 142

- 1 | O. Ever treated for it?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Prescribed any medication for it?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Were you ever formally diagnosed with high
- 6 | blood pressure?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Were you ever --
- 9 A. I could say myself.
- 10 Q. Other than yourself, were you --
- 11 | A. No.
- Q. Were you ever treated for high blood pressure
- medically?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 | Q. Were you ever prescribed any medications for
- 16 high blood pressure?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you experience any of these conditions,
- 19 fear, anxiety, loss of sleep, high blood
- 20 pressure, when you were going through your
- 21 divorce?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | O. None of them?
- 24 | A. Not really, no.
- 25 | Q. Were you ever diagnosed -- you said you had



problems at work, keeping your focus. Were you ever diagnosed with attention deficit disorder?

A. No.

4

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

- 5 Q. Were you ever treated for it?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Were you ever prescribed medication for it?
- 8 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. You mentioned phone calls from SLS and your worries about things that they -- that you wondered as to what they would do, such as taking your car. Did they ever explicitly threaten to take your car?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Did they ever explicitly threaten to garnish your wages?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Did they ever explicitly threaten you with a recourse to collect that debt other than foreclosure?
  - A. They threatened me with foreclosure, they threatened me to get my financial information. I'm not going to ask them what they're going to do with the financial information, but I'm assuming, this is an assumption, is that if I



- give them my financial information, bank
  accounts, retirement accounts, my work, how do
  I know they're not going to go after my
  income, my work, my possessions? I don't know
  that.
  - Q. Okay. That's your speculation, though? They didn't --
  - A. Speculation and assumption, correct.
- 9 Q. Did you lose any business deals as a result of being denied a business loan because of your credit score?
- 12 A. I don't have a business.
- 13 | O. So the answer would --
- 14 A. No.

6

7

- Q. From 2009 until the filing of your complaint, did you ever have a purchase offer on a piece of property, real property?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. So is it fair to say you didn't have any mortgage loan application denied from 2009 until the time you filed the complaint?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And we went through the credit report. There
  were other things on your credit report that
  reflect derogatory marks on your credit?



1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. At the time you filed the complaint April 28th of last year, did you have any other debts besides the debt of issue in this case?
- 5 A. As far as?
  - Q. Personal or real property debt, any debts whatsoever?
- 8 A. Some credit card debt.
  - Q. As of the time you filed this complaint
    April 28, 2017, do you recall approximately
    the balances you owed on those debts?
  - A. From 2017 until now?
  - Q. No. As of 2017, April 28, 2017, when you filed the complaint, do you recall approximately how much debt you had?
  - A. My car loan, some credit card debt, maybe 10 to 15,000 with the car loan and some credit card debt in April of 2017.
  - Q. Okay. And as of April 28, 2017, what was your annual income for the previous year, 2016 at your current position approximately?
- 22 A. 65,000.
  - Q. And how much as of April 28, 2017, were your housing costs for your address at 270 Miller?
  - A. \$800 for the house, utilities, couple hundred



- dollars for utilities, insurance.
- 2 | Q. So 800 is the rent exclusive of utilities?
  - A. Yes. The house is owned by my sister and I'm renting the house.
    - Q. Okay. Mr. Macris, if you didn't understand my questions today, you told me that, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

- Q. Okay. So unless you told me that, can I assume you understood my questions today?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any answers you provided today that you'd like to change at this time?
- 13 | A. Not at this time, no.
- Q. Have you told me everything that you believe to be critical in support of your claim in your complaint?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any other documents you could review or look at that would provide me more information?
- 21 A. Not at this time, no.
- Q. Is there anything else you'd like to tell me about this case?
- A. Well I think I explained the emotional damages that this caused me. I'm going to reiterate



1	that information a little bit more. I am
2	still suffering from it and talking to my
3	friends, family, helps. I'm one of those
4	people that believe in you have to take care
5	of yourself and I just it's tough being a
6	single father enough and raising children by
7	myself, but I'm working my way through it.
8	That's all.
9	MR. MCGRATH: Subject to the
10	possibility, and I don't think it's a high
11	one, that I would need to reopen it based on
12	the letters that he provided, and I say that
13	because there was one letter, all be it later
14	in time
15	MR. ANDREWS: Yeah. We'll have him
16	search through for something else he has that
17	he didn't produce. We'll send it over to you.
18	MR. MCGRATH: Yeah. Subject to me
19	needing to ask additional questions, which I
20	think is low, I have no further questions.
21	
22	(Deposition concluded at 2:06 p.m.)
23	* * * * *
24	



April 25, 2018 148

1	STATE OF NEW YORK)
2	) ss
3	COUNTY OF ERIE )
4	
5	
6	I, Shannon Gallagher, Notary Public, in and for the County of Erie, State of New York, do
7	hereby certify:
8	That the witness whose testimony appears
9	hereinbefore was, before the commencement of their testimony, duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
10	truth; that said testimony was taken pursuant to notice at the time and place as herein set
11	forth; that said testimony was taken down by me and thereafter transcribed into
12	typewriting, and I hereby certify the foregoing testimony is a full, true and
13	correct transcription of my shorthand notes so taken.
14	
15	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action,
16	nor in anyway interested in the outcome thereof.
17	chereer.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my seal this
19	4th day of May, 2018,
20	Whoman Dallagher
21	Shannon Gallagher Notary Public-State of New York
22	Mocary Funtic-peace of New Tolk
23	
24	
25	



	- 2130195 <b>.</b>	66:2		17 62:10
Exhibits	EXHIBITU	13	2	85:7
	2130195 .	126:2		129:12
2130195 .	EXHIBITV	14	2	2009
EXHIBITA		52:24	18:1 19:9	9:5 23:10
			22:1	26:1,3,8
2130195 .	\$	54:5	43:8,13	29:6
EXHIBITB		14221	120:11	30:5,11
130195 .	\$153,000	52:21	20	31:24
XHIBITC	140:8	15	64:21	37:24
130195 .	\$159,000	32:3	70:13	38:6, <b>1</b> 1,
XHIBITD	103:13	112:15	75:7	19 39:16
YHIBIID	105:13		78:18	20 41:17
130195 .	105.5	15,000	79:7 98:6	42:6,8
XHIBITE	\$189,000	145:17	114:6	43:10
130195 .	11:11	150	128:10	50:8
XHIBITF	\$200	123:20	120:10	54:20
WIITDIIL	124:14	124:1	2005	55:2,15,
130195 .		137:17	12:12	19 62:6,
XHIBITG	\$800		32:12	21 64:7,
2130195 .	145:25	160	2006	15 84:24
XHIBITH		137:17	10:14	85:4
	1	16th	11:7,10,	144:15,2
130195 .		90:18	13,16,21	2010
XHIBITI			21:12	9:6
130195 .	1	17	56:1	12:18,21
XHIBITJ	18:10	120:25	50.1	28:11
	21:13,15	18	2007	29:6
130195 .	22:8,20,	93:25	92:21	82:25
XHIBITK	21 61:3	139:20	2008	82:25 83:11,15
130195 .	64:7,15		9:19,21	85:10
XHIBITN	131:6	18th	12:4,24,	92:10
	10	80:22	25 13:1,	2011
130195 .	32:3	19	11 18:10	64:22
EXHIBITP	95:24	95:12	19:10,21	66:16,25
2130195 .	101:3	120:24	21:6,15	68:15
EXHIBITQ	125:3	121:11	22:9,14,	70:13
N. 1. 2. 2. 2. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	145:16	124:6	20,21	72:25
2130195 .		1014	23:25	75:8
EXHIBITR	11	1914	25:17,21,	78:18
2130195 .	26:14,15,	136:11	22 30:11	79:7
EXHIBITS	16,18	1991	31:24	85:2,5,1
012010E	12	36:4		2012
2130195 .	125:17	1 _1	32:12	2012
EXHIBITT		1st	36:24	8:18
	12/20/11	19:10	37:10,13	28:2,3,1
	65:17		50:7,16,	52:17,24



April 25, 2018 Index: \$153,000..2012

IARK MACRIS IACRIS vs EXF	PERIAN INFORM	ATION SOLUTIO	NS	April 25, 20 Index: 20138
53:10,25	114:6	83:11	4/20/2010	64:17
54:6	145:10,	113:5	65:25	128:16,24
56:16,18	12,13,18,	2:06	40	E /10 /001 C
57:6	19,23	147:22		5/19/2016
59:7,10,	2022	147:22	45:5,7,9,	121:6
13	128:14	2nd	14,15 49:15	5804
2013	120:14	118:22	49:15	9:1
8:19,20	20th	~~	403	
111:21	66:15,24	3	10:2,12,	
119:12	68:15	<u> </u>	17,24	6
	22		11:6 12:7	
2014	128:10	3	37:21	6
57:1,2		17:2	38:5,10	61:3
2015	23	53:10	39:11	128:21
67:15	94:17	70:23	40:6	6/19/2015
90:18	111:1	93:21	45:12	94:25
91:3,24	24	111:14	48:25	
92:10,20	52:15,16	3/1/2012	50:5	60
93:2,25	53:12	123:18	52:20	126:7,12
94:18	54:5	125,10	87:24	600
95:12,24	99:11	3/16/2015	94:12	136:17
		88:22	102:15	
98:6	26A1	32	103:17	65,000
99:14	130:10	54:12	106:16	145:22
101:3	26th	55:9		67
2016	67:14	55.9	42	9:14
15:9		34	43:24	3.11
55:22	27	55:21	44:1	
56:3,6,9	15:5	58:23	45	7
103:3,21	121:1,7	99:12	43:3,16	
105:17,19	140:22	35	48:8	7
106:10	270	122:13,18		121:12
111:1,16	5:16 8:14	•	46	134:15
112:15	111:19	37	53:5,15	
113:5	112:17	89:4	57:15,22	***************************************
115:2	113:8	38	59:1	8
118:22	145:24	45:6	47	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
119:2,5,		- <del></del>	53:15	8/1/2010
17	28		48	125:6
120:11,24	111:16	4		
121:1,7,	145:10,		53:15	800
11 124:6	13,19,23	4	57:15,22	146:2
140:22	28th	21:14,16	59:1	8th
145:20	145:2	22:1 43:9		94:24
		64:17	5	
2017	29	86:24		
15:3,6	82:25	128:3,24	-	
			5	



	129:4	actual	admits	agree
9	accounts	51:10,11	71:1	6:23
	119:10	134:19,	79:16	20:18
•	144:2	21,23	admitted	56:4
	144:2	additional	61:12,13,	63:25
20:5,10,	accurate	104:8	15	71:11
13 24:9	125:10	134:2	13	81:17
124:10	accurately		advance	94:4
	96:12	147:19	13:4	100:21
A	90:12	address	advised	110:18
	acknowledge	8:16,22,	57:8	111:9
	67:7	24 9:2,9,	94:25	120:3,21
aron	68:20	11,15	102:5	124:24
94:2	.1 1 . 4	10:1,13,		127:9,16,
bandonment	acknowledge -	17,25	108:19	19
114:9	đ	11:6 12:7	affect	
	61:6	18:15	122:11	agreed
abide	acknowledge	38:10	125:13	24:2
136:25	s	42:10,14	130:7	45:11
bsolutely	99:14	86:25	137:21	50:3,10
75:20		87:14		97:1
76:20,22	Acquisition	111:20	affected	127:2
83:23	114:9		114:21	agreement
	acronym	112:18	affidavit	23:16,19
113:25	27:22	113:8	106:14	21,22
accept	27.22	145:24	107:1,3,	
33:22	act	addressed	7,15,17,	25:10,13
48:18	103:14	107:19	22 108:9	14,17,22
	117:11	112:16		25 26:2,
acceptable	acting	113:7	affirmation	34:1
35:9	105:22		106:13	42:24
accepted	105:22	addresses	107:6,8	43:9,11,
66:15	action	45:10	108:8,17,	12,22
	52:12	adequately	20	45:5,10,
access	55:14,18	135:19	<b>53</b> . ).	18 47:3,
112:11	87:22		afloat	48:8,10,
accident	90:10	admission	85:8	14,21,23
34:6	94:6	60:23	agency	49:18
	96:4,11	admissions	29:23	50:2,13
account	97:19,25	61:1,2		55:2
87:4	100:17		agent	57:25
119:19	104:10	admit	29:20,22	58:5
123:17,	109:18,22	61:5,17,	30:21	59:14
18,23	131:4	25 64:5,	31:15	66:12
124:17	134:3	20 70:12	32:5,9	94:20
125:6	エンエ・フ	71:22	33:2,4	95:5 97:
126:9,16,	actively	78:2,17	34:5,10,	
22 128:9	122:1,2	79:5	17	agreements



April 25, 2018 Index: 9..agreements

# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: agrees..asserting

WACHIO VS EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	TION SOLUTION	NO IIIQEX:	agreesasserting
50:24	78:17	73:23	apparently	approximate
agrees	79:6,15	74:13	9:12	ly
45:15	amending	75:10,20	appearance	9:16,21
	70:22	76:1,7,	90:23	11:11
ahead		12,15,18,	95:3	31:25
34:3	America	22 77:1,		32:3
78:15	115:22,25	19 79:3	appeared	102:18
alcohol	America's	82:1	67:2	103:1
7:18	115:23	90:21	68:4,17,	105:17
	_ ,	93:17	24 91:9	123:2
allegation	American	104:3,5	appearing	145:10,
54:10	119:3,6,	110:15	15:25	15,21
allegations	13 121:13	133:10	94:23	April
16:21	125:14	134:24		21:15
	128:4,25	147:15	appears	22:8,20,
allege	Amherst	annexed	18:21	22:8,20, 21 67:14
52:16	10:5,6,10	61:7	19:5,24	80:25
55:21	52:21	64:22	68:7 72:4	
allegedly	112:1	64:22 70:13	application	82:10,14,
54:14,22,		70:13	64:21	25 83:11,
23 55:6	amount	annual	70:13	15 105:17
	90:4	145:20	75:7 84:7	106:10
alleviate	105:3	angwerg	113:13,17	145:2,10,
17:15	109:20	answers	115:13,17	13,18,19,
47:24	125:18	80:3,4,8	116:11,13	23
96:19	127:2	146:11	116:11,13	area
99:5	135:7	anxiety	144:20	27:13
alleviated	amounts	135:18	144;20	122:5
46:9 59:5	20:15	136:7	applied	
63:2,5	112:24	138:6	85:10	argue
101:20		139:16	apply	82:1
110:2	and/or	140:16	117:13	arrangement
	45:19	141:6,9,		43:21
alleviating	47:17	12 142:19	${ t appointment}$	
56:11	130:17		B	arrive
94:20	131:13	anymore	136:24	112:2
allowed	Andrews	75:11	approve	arrogant
76:16	7:22	91:12	115:8	140:1,2
104:18	10:8,11	100:4,20		Ametala
117:17	13:17	101:21	approved	Article
	15:3,10	109:13,16	58:3,6	45:7,9
ambulance	16:14,18	110:1,3	95:5	ascertain
137:19	20:11,22	apartment	approving	109:20
amended	47:19	92:15	58:15	asks
60:25				
65:11	52:6 56:3	apologize	approximate	78:16
70:22	60:6,8	96:1,4	29:4 30:7	asserting
	72:14,20			



# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: assertion..Bianca

	ERIAN INFORMA			assenionBland
64:25	80:21,23	aware	136:11	144:1
85:21	84:1,3	49:14	139:11,12	bankruptcy
assertion	88:11	63:6	background	119:22
57:5 93:2	91:10		35:17	120:12,14
	93:16	В	1 1	·
assignments	94:3,11		backwards	bar
136:22	99:1,6,20		10:15	66:6
assist	101:22	BA	bad	based
16:13,18	102:5	35:21	103:9	27:10
·	104:3	BAC	118:5,10	107:6
assistance	110:14	84:12	-	136:14
85:9	114:25	87:12	badge	139:5
113:11	130:9		104:19	147:11
Association	131:3	Bachelor	137:4	
89:11	attorney's	35:23	balance	basically
	64:9	back	125:19	30:22
assume		12:5,24	126:18	basis
117:17,19	102:4	20:3		17:11
146:9	attorneys	21:12	balances	54:4,8,21
assumed	5:8 91:15	25:1	145:11	56:8 57:4
59:3	93:7	26:22	balancing	58:23
	100:22	37:17	127:6	59:10
assuming	101:13	40:13		67:4
57:25	109:13,21	41:10	bank	68:18
58:4	134:19		11:18,20	00.10
143:25		43:6	18:24	Bates
assumption	August	50:16	25:13,18	19:7
81:24	12:12	51:23	26:4,7	26:12
143:25	52:16,24	52:11	40:19	66:7,10
143:25	54:5	58:22	51:9,10,	84:11
144:8	56:15,18	60:2	11,13	bathroom
attempts	57:6	62:13	57:19,20	
84:20	59:13	63:15,18	59:15	8:2
	113:5	71:11	63:14,15,	bears
attention	118:22	72:10	18 87:4	71:1,22
143:2	119:2,5,	77 <b>:4</b>	89:11	78:3
attest	17 120:11	79:18	90:5	79:16
137:20	auto	82:1,9	96:21	86:16
attorney	30:17	83:10	99:21	
-		86:14,19	101:23,24	beginning
5:24 7:22	31:1,10	101:1	105:7	93:21
13:17	119:7	106:2	110:1	behalf
14:22,24	Avenue	110:24	115:22,	16:22
16:13,18,	9:14	115:17	23,24	131:4
24 42:22	awand	117:19	116:11	
	award			Bianca
60:6,8,20		129:22	117・1つ	
60:6,8,20 61:20 76:6	134:18	129:22 134:14	117:12 122:1	104:16

MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018
MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: big..Catherine

MACINIO VS LAF	PERIAN INFORM	THOM SOLUTIO	110	ndex: bigCatherin
big	bottom	Bryant	66:6	care
137:2	93:23	36:10,13,	88:15,25	139:18
bill	124:11	17 37:13	89:8,9	140:14
103:12	boy	BS	91:1	147:4
127:1	11:5	35:21	107:2	damaan
	11.5	33,21	110:6,9	career
binding	Boys	Buff	113:22	37:7
48:14	11:4	36:3	130:10	carrier
bit	Bread	Buffalo	137:8	31:16
63:4	110:7	27:13	calling	33:21
135:13,22		28:15,19,	140:19	carriers
138:7	break	20 32:25		33:4
147:1	8:3,9,10	35:20	calls	33.4
	15:12	36:8	105:9	carry
block	30:1		138:25	34:12
66:20	42:16	build	143:9	136:13
67:24,25	59:23	139:7	cancelled	case
blood	60:4 63:4	business	38:8	8:5 32:11
137:16,	102:11	29:13	30:0	101:7,10
20,22	129:24	31:15	Capital	131:6
139:16	Brian	33:19	115:6	134:12
142:6,12,	5:24	34:20	123:15	145:4
16,19		35:5,13,	dang	146:23
	bring	15 137:3,	<b>caps</b> 87:5	140:23
board	43:6	7 144:9,	07:5	cash
27:8	123:9		caption	87:1
135:25	brings	10,12	98:8	115:25
136:20	123:13	buy	car	casualty
137:1		63:17	117:13	34:6
boardroom	broad	117:13		34:0
137:9	120:2		119:12	Catherine
	broker		140:6,7,	10:23
bore	11:20,22	C	10	12:8,11,
136:4	29:19,21,		143:12,13	1.5   5   10
born	25.19,21,	calculate	145:16,17	18:5
92:21	13 31:24	101:22	card	25:12
<i>J</i> Z.ZI		11	115:25	42:24
borrow	32:5	call	116:5,11	43:10
63:14,17	33:2,3,20	43:19	117:14	44:6
borrower	brother	55:22	119:3	65:24
26:20	132:1	76:5	121:15	83:3
20.20	133:17	103:5	137:4	84:13,16
bother		104:22	145:8,16,	86:7
	brought	140:6,21	18	89:13
141:3	00.17		— <del>-</del>	~
141:3	80:17	called	_	
	80:17 82:15 87:22	called 28:8 53:6	cards 137:3	100:14 111:16



# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: caused..complaint

classificat	123:19	commission
ion	125:7	67:14
34:24,25	126:9,16	•
136:1,20	co-workers	commitment
	130:12	65:23
classificat	131:11,	committed
ions	18,19	40:1
136:18	132:12,	communicati
classified	14,15	
136:10	·	<b>ng</b>
	coach	134:8
clause	76:17,25	Comp
100:2	coached	31:10
clauses	76:21	34:13,23
31:4		135:24
	coaching	136:5,10
clear	76:11,12,	companies
14:6 80:9	18,22	30:25
89:6	codes	30:25
90:22	66:6	
110:8	<i>55</i>	34:11
cleared	coffee	135:24
140:18	8:1	136:10,
clerk	collated	12,23
	88:10	138:24
95:1 98:5	11h	company
clerk's	collect	11:19
18:22	8:4	28:8
52:18	143:19	29:24
54:1	collecting	32:17
58:4,6	105:8	33:5
88:21	collection	35:2,10
client	118:10	136:16
16:10	124:17	137:3
	124:1/	138:2,20
35:12	college	139:2
56:1	35:18,19,	
57:17	20 36:5,	Compensatio
76:6 96:2	11,17	n on n on o
clients	37:10,12	27:7 31:2
102:14	colloquiall	complaint
clinically	-	14:3,9
<del>-</del>	<b>у</b> 141.23	16:8
T4T!0		52:12
Close	commercial	53:12
9:6	30:23,25	54:13
		Close commercial



# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: complete...costs

			NS Inde	x: completecost
88:3	conditions	104:12	copies	93:22
89:1,3,10	142:18	contacting	93:15	95:14
99:10,18	conduct	103:8	95:4	97:25
114:19	17:6		103:22,25	99:17
133:7,8,	17.0	contemplate	104:2	100:21
13 134:15	conference	135:11	aonu	101:8
144:15,21	91:2,5,23	continue	<b>copy</b> 14:25	102:4
145:2,9,	92:9,24	84:6 87:1	15:8	103:19
14 146:16	93:2,8	88:24	12:0	104:1
	94:25	94:16	correct	106:18,22
complete	95:3,12,	128:10	8:14 12:9	109:5,10
36:25	17	120:10	14:19	111:6,7,
131:16		contract	18:5,10,	12,13,22
completed	confirmatio	31:7	14 19:22	114:21
37:1	n oz 1	136:25	20:1 21:2	115:10
	97:1	aontroat-	22:9,15,	116:1
composure	confuse	contracts	21 23:1,	118:1,6
137:23	79:21,24	31:21,25	25 24:6,	119:20
138:5	80:2	32:1	11 25:14,	120:22
compound		33:10	20 27:14	124:8,9
117:2,20	confused	contribute	28:16	124:8,9
	82:20	41:22	32:6,7	
comprehensi	confusion		40:2,3,25	126:1,6
ve	89:4	conversatio		129:2
139:2		n	41:1,4	133:22
comprised	conned	24:23	47:13	144:8,22
120:22,23	87:2,9	91:22	48:2,6,7	146:6
	considered	conversatio	49:25	Correction
compute	123:6	ns	50:1 51:7	46:24
100:16	124:7	83:22	54:7,11	
109:20	126:12	96:15,16,	55:4,5	correctly
conceded	127:7,12,	17,18	57:3,23	95:8
109:8	24	99:3	58:10,11,	114:16
T03:0		130:12,	21 59:9,	correspond
concentrati	consistent	15,17,19	16 62:7,	138:19
ng	18:12		8,11,12,	
135:21	95:11	131:11,12	16,24	corresponde
concern	constitute	132:14,17	64:16	nce
92:5	62:22	convey	69:1,5,	99:3
34.3	124:25	46:3	15,16,19	103:2,15,
concerned	124:25	convince	71:16	23,25
40.70	constitutes		72:11	104:3,23
40:7,9	120:24	96:3,9,20	79:2 80:5	106:2,9
92:11	100,01		00 6 04 4	108:22
92:11		cooperate	82:6 84:4	100.22
92:11	consumed	cooperate 46:14	82:6 84:4 85:5,16	112:12
92:11		<del>-</del>		112:12
92:11 concerns	consumed	46:14	85:5,16	112:12 costs
92:11 concerns 92:15	consumed 7:18	46:14 48:5	85:5,16 88:6	112:12



April 25, 2018 Index: counsel..December

MACINIO VS EXI	ENIAN INFONINA	TION OOLO HOL	10 maoxi oc	74110011.1D000111.001
145:24	25 91:1,9	124:4	135:10,17	Davidson
counsel	93:8	125:1,3,	damages	93:6,16,
5:5 15:23	94:24	15 126:3,	134:19,	25 99:4
74:16	95:1,4,7	13 127:4,	21,23	day
76:3,13	98:3,12,	8,12,17,	135:5,9,	31:12
95:1	23 99:13,	23,25	14,16	66:15,24
) ) ) . 1	15	128:17,25	146:24	68:15
counselor	covenant	130:6		
77:13	53:6 58:8	133:21	date	days
count	55.0 50.0	134:21,25	18:9	123:20
89:3	cover	135:2,6	19:8,9	124:1
	102:11	144:11,	22:5	126:7,12
Countrywide	coverage	23,24,25	68:25	deals
18:23	136:13	145:8,16,	83:20	144:9
County		17	105:18	
18:22	covered	creditor	dated	debt
52:18	32:9	117:15,17	53:10	20:12
58:4,6	49:23	117:15,17	64:21	54:14,16,
66:24	62:14	127:9,11	65:17,25	24 55:25
67:12	107:10	Criminal	66:1	56:2,7
68:14	131:9	35:25	70:5,13	57:9
88:21	covers	critical	75:7	61:7,19
98:5	63:19	146:15	78:18	62:2 64:7
30:5	114:1	140:15	79:7	94:12,22
couple	114:1	cry	82:25	96:7,20
90:19	credit	132:22	98:5	100:24
104:15	14:4,9,	current		101:20
132:2	12,18	34:18	101:2	105:8,11,
145:25	103:11	39:20	111:1,16	21 110:3
go., wgo.g	106:2,20	42:13	112:1,15	112:24
courses	114:14,	42:13 50:17	113:5	118:5,10
36:7,9,10	21,22		114:5	122:2
court	115:1,7,	64:15	120:25	127:7
6:9,21	12,15	84:16	121:6,7	141:1
7:4 17:15	116:5,11	111:21	dates	143:19
50:21	117:7,11,	124:16	19:6,7	145:4,6,
51:4,5,6,	13,18	145:21	29:4	8,15,16,
14,24,25	118:4,13,	Cynthia	105:23	18
52:2	20,23	106:14		debts
56:10,22,	119:11,	107:1,4,	daughter	
24 59:4,8	19,21	7,16,23	40:11	145:3,6,
63:3	120:23	108:9	41:3	11
75:15	121:5,15		91:24	December
88:4	122:7,12,	- Lucarinina (	92:6,12,	12:25
89:10	21,23	D	17,19,20,	13:1
90:9,14,	123:3,6,		21 124:15	64:21
15,16,24,	10,23	damage	139:19	66:16,25
	•			
1				



April 25, 2018 Index: decide..disclosure

WACHIO VS EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	ATION SOLUTION	vo index:	aeciaeaisciosure
68:15	60:21,25	96:1	78:20,24,	develop
70:13	89:24	dolinguange	25 81:18,	137:13
75:7	97:10	delinquency	21	138:3,8
78:18	98:8	94:21	dom:	139:2
79:7	100:5,15,	119:18,22	denying	
84:24	20 101:21	120:5,9	64:12	diagnosed
85:2,17	107:20	delinquent	70:24	141:8,15,
	109:12	102:14	116:3	22 142:5,
decide	110:1	103:6	Department	25 143:2
35:3	130:18,20	115:14	34:8	difference
78:14	131:13	116:6,16		63:10
decided	132:18	118:14,24	deposition	
37:5,6		119:1,4,	6:1,6	difficult
· ·	Defendant's	18 121:17	7:11,13,	41:21
decision	15:18	123:25	16 13:13,	difficultie
118:2	16:5	135:3	16 15:23	s
decisions	17:21		16:2 61:9	41:19
115:1	19:11	demand	74:1	
	42:20,21	16:8	76:14	Dipasquale
decree	43:3 62:7	demanded	88:17	100:16
95:20	65:7	113:22	104:7	109:19
96:9	82:18,22	139:24,25	106:1	direct
deed	84:10	139,24,23	147:22	17:1
46:5,25	88:8,9	denial	derogatory	33:5,7
52:17,19	101:3	115:23	106:19	104:5
53:3,6,21	105:25	116:12		
56:15,20	114:23	117:18	123:6	directed
57:10,14	120:17,	118:1	124:7,25	7:21
58:1,8,24	18,21	denied	125:15,16	135:25
59:3	131:2	62:25	126:12	directing
91:12		71:25	127:8,12,	75:17,23
	defendants		18,24	76:4
99:13	134:16	115:12	144:25	70.4
deeds	deficiency	116:5,15	Describe	directly
57:24	96:10	117:16	31:5	29:24
default		144:10,20		33:5
1	deficit	denies	desired	51:20
54:15,17	143:2	61:21	33:21	52:3
64:6	defined	65:1 72:3	destroyed	95:15
124:18,21	141:23	73:10	114:22	discharge
defaulted		79:9	A.b.27	45:19
54:14	definitive		detail	
55:10,11	138:9	deny	34:2	46:12
3.6	degree	62:3	determined	47:17
defendant	35:18,19,	64:10	111:10	disclosure
5:25	21 36:8	70:15,16,		130:9,10
15:19,20		18 73:9	devastated	131:3
17:5	delay	75:6	139:15	
1				



# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: discovery..earlier

MACHIS VS EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: discoveryearlier				
discovery	distinct	16,22,24	114:1	130:2
14:14	63:22	57:14,17,	115:6,19	drink
42:22	distinction	21 58:7,	121:6	8:1
88:12	64:2	15,18,19	129:14	
114:25	04:2	59:4,8	138:17	Drive
discuss	distress	60:24	documentati	9:1,9
60:4,8	133:3	63:22	on	drop
129:24	district	65:11	16:19	94:4
129:24	94:24	66:11	94:19	96:3,10,
discussed		67:17,19	113:10	21 97:19
60:7	divorce	68:6,25	113:10	101:10
87:21	12:20	69:15,22,	documents	
108:15	13:2	24 70:3,	13:23	dropped
128:5	41:20	4,6,15,17	14:2,7	97:10,24
131:15,20	43:22	71:3,7,20	17:15	98:8
44.50.555	45:10	72:5,25	39:2	100:5
discusses 84:19	47:8 48:8	73:2,4,7	42:21	101:11
64:19	50:9 84:3	74:10,23	46:6	dropping
discussing	91:9	77:7,12,	50:18	101:6
83:14,16	94:20	13,16	51:11,15,	
112:20	95:5,19	78:5,9,	20 52:3	drugs
discussion	96:8	12,21	53:25	7:18
52:9	126:24	, 79:17,23,	59:18	due
77:21	142:21	25 81:4,7	61:4,13	21:15
77:21	divorced	82:13	63:7 88:9	109:21
disorder		83:3	89:17	112:24
143:3	12:15,16, 17 43:17	84:14	90:9,11,	123:20
dispute	1/ 43;1/	88:13,14,	17 104:8	125:18
102:21,22	document	16,25	106:5	126:5,7,
102:21,22	14:21	89:10	108:7	11
106:3	16:3,14	95:25	113:12	
108:3	17:2 18:9	98:4,25	120:22	duly
121:7,8	19:3,8,16	99:8,22	121:4	5:17 77:1
	42:23	100:14,	133:25	Dylan
disputed	43:4,8,	19,23	134:2	109:4
17:8	19,20,25	101:10,	139:5	
102:13,	44:9,17,	15,16,19	140:19	
18,19	19,23	102:8	146:18	E
105:20	45:1	103:20		
114:14	50:8,21	104:9,21	Doe	earlier
disputes	51:2,4,5,	104:3,21	89:14	21:1
130:7	6,9,13,	107:13,25	dollars	40:22
	14,23,25	107:13,23	146:1	49:23
dissatisfac	52:1,13,	108:2,4		54:25
tion	24 53:2,	11	Dolphins	61:15
131:17	7,11,14,	110:13,18	130:5	62:5,9,
	24 56:10,	110:13,18	draft	14,20
		TTT.T/		,

93:18,22	entered	50:10	exhibit
	5:4 25:16	57:23	15:18
	50:9	83:6,10,	16:5
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17:21
140:1/			18:2,17,
Emilio			18,20
84:4		91:25	19:1,3
emetien	120:12	92:18,19	20:3,4
	entitled		21:13
07:7	134:18	112:17	26:11
emotional			39:10
135:10,	<del>-</del>		42:20,21
12,13,14,	•		43:3,16
16 146:24	122:1		48:9
employed	equipment	39:3 58:9	50:14,20,
	28:9,12,	exact	25 51:12,
	21 29:14		17 52:11
49:18	138:13,17		53:5,15,
employee	m4 -		19 57:15,
27:15		5:20	21 58:25
136:21		examined	60:20,24
omp1essee			61:8,14
	•		
138:14			63:19,21,
employer		141:24	23 64:18,
32:14		exchange	22,25
omn lasmant	98:5		65:6,8,9,
	established		10,22
32:10	86:4		66:5
ems		31:11	70:14,16,
30:14		exclusive	18,20,21,
RMT		146:2	22,25
			71:5,11,
137.10	•		12,17,21,
end	69:7,10	137:15	25 72:13
65:3	evidence	execute	73:9,10,
84:23	64:13	46:4	18,22
87:5	67:5	61:13	74:19
93:20	68:18	ovodit - d	75:5
endorsement			77:6,9,
			17,25
			78:8,10,
			16,19,20,
			22,24
129:14		111:10	79:4,13,
enforcement		execution	15,19
124:11	41:18	45:17	80:1,13,
	emotion 87:7  emotional 135:10, 12,13,14, 16 146:24  employed 26:23 29:18  employee 27:15 136:21  employees 138:14  employer 32:14  employment 32:10  EMS 30:14  EMT 137:18  end 65:3 84:23 87:5 93:20  endorsement s 31:11  ends 129:14  enforcement	## 50:9  140:17	embarrassment         50:9         83:6,10,           140:17         entire         15 84:17,           Emilio         22:23         19 85:21           84:4         98:20         87:18,23           84:4         120:12         91:25           emotion         87:7         entitled         111:15           135:10,         27:4,6         ex-wife's           12,13,14,         12:17         exwife's           12,13,14,         12:11         19:25           employed         28:9,12,         exact           29:18         21 29:14         100:11           26:23         28:9,12,         exact           29:18         138:13,17         examined           29:18         18:21         5:20           136:21         18:21         examined           27:15         18:21         examined           138:14         66:24         excessive           employer         68:15         141:24           32:10         86:4         31:11           30:14         Everleth         46:2           EMT         67:11         exclusive           end         69:7,10         137:15



April 25, 2018 Index: exhibits..financed

82:7,18,	142:18		136:2	FICO
22,24	experiences	F	family	122:13,
83:9,10	134:9		130:13	16,18
84:10		facility	131:11,	field
88:8,9,19	experiencin	138:16	15,18,19,	27:15
93:13,14	g	130:10	22 140:15	135:23
95:10	41:18	fact		136:21
98:3	acom a sub	123:25	147:3	130:21
99:10	expert 123:14	factual	fan	figure
101:4	123:14		130:5	80:7
105:25	expertise	16:21	E-th	file
106:4,23,	136:5,9	131:5	father	
24		133:12,23	135:20	46:24
110:24,25	expired	134:12	139:21	94:3,7
115:24,25	67:14	factually	147:6	139:5
115:20,21	explain	133:10	FCRA	filed
	34:2		17:5	14:3
120:17,	62:25	failed	134:18	16:10
19,21	63:10	61:18		52:18
130:24	126:18	62:1	fear	5 <b>4:1</b>
131:2	136:6	failing	135:18	90:17,22
134:14		17:6	136:7	108:3
exhibits	explained		140:16	120:12,14
5:1 17:18	6:2 91:10	fails	141:22,24	121:8
21:5	146:24	46:11	142:19	131:3
37:17	explaining	failure	February	
40:23	113:1	62:22	12:4	133:8
49:23	113:1	02:22		144:21
51:17,22	explains	fair	18:10	145:2,9,
•	29:15	8:11	19:10,22	14
55:4,19	35:15	16:22	21:5	filing
59:17	11 .161	31:19	53:10	5:10
60:11,12,	explicitly	43:22	103:3	144:15
15 61:10	143:12,	44:20	federal	
62:6,7,13	15,18	60:20	9:14	filled
63:6	Express	101:9	133:8	85:11
65:10	119:3,6,	114:14		final
80:20	14 121:13	117:10	feel	72:2
89:2	125:14	123:5	141:2	130:6
114:23	128:4,25	144:19	feelings	
Experian	·		133:3	finalized
14:5	extent	fairly		12:18,19
15:22	17:12	116:24	fees	finally
16:11	47:19	fall	109:21	84:24
	104:7	22:14,22	134:20	
	130:4	44;44 44;44	felt	85:17
121:6,10				
134:16		familiar	140:24	financed



26:4	focused	118:13	34:19	94:18
	30:18		34:19 138:18	94:18 105:23
financial		fragments	130:10	105:23
34:8	folds	118:1	gathered	122:24
36:10,21,	138:22	Fred	139:1	government
23 37:7,8	follow	134:5	gave	124:18
41:18,22,	30:3		75:4 80:3	graduate
25 55:23	91:13,14	Frederick	85:12	36:3,5,
113:23	93:5	132:5,6	104:20	14,18,20
135:10	105:13	Fredrick	140:16	37:9
139:25		134:6		
140:3	fond	_	general	great
143:22,24	43:6	free	31:9	37:6
144:1	foreclose	110:8	137:11	ground
financing	87:23	friend	generally	6:6,8
11:12	89:22	132:7	104:14	·
		£! a	138:15	Group
find	foreclosed	friends		29:3,8,
88:15	89:20	131:16	generated	11,17
fine	140:22	132:2,3,4	113:18	30:14
15:13	foreclosure	140:15	Getzville	guess
76:15	87:21	147:3	5:16	40:20
	90:2,4	front	8:14,21	60:19
finished	91:2,15	45:2 66:5	111:24	94:16
20:6 36:7	92:6 93:6	68:24	112:2	102:20
Fink	94:10,24	137:9	112,2	102;20
93:6,16	97:10,19,		girl	guessing
94:1 99:4	25 99:20	froze	11:5	58:5
	100:22	138:5	girls	90:19
fire	116:19	full	11:4	
28:8,12	110:19	45:14,17	11.4	
29:14		84:23	give	H
30:17	125:20	126:17,18	15:11	
Five-and-a-	143:20,21	127:6	34:20,25	half
half	foregoing		35:7	87:6
8:17	67:7	fully	93:12	hand
8:1/	68:20	129:4	97:3	
flag	£		104:17,	15:17
98:3	form	~	18,19	65:7,9
107:2	5:12	G	122:6	82:17
flood	formally		133:25	84:9
	36:12	gained	144:1	105:24
30:17	142:5	37:5		handed
fluent		garnish	giving	93:13
64:3	forward	140:5	85:25	
£	94:19		good	Handing
focus	fragment	143:15	5:22,23	131:1
143:1	117:21	gather	28:1 90:8	



April 25, 2018 Index: handles..informed

handles	147:10	14,16	identifying	incumbering
31:17	highest	108:23	117:23	45:20
136:20	122:15	140:21	identity	independent
handling		145:25	44:16,17,	29:21
91:16	history	146:3,4	22 69:14	133:7,23
94:3	122:14,	housekeepin		134:11
	15,19	g	immediately	
happen	hold	7:25	28:23	independent
86:21	94:11		29:17	1 <b>y</b>
happened	97:20	housing	30:5 32:4	62:14
80:25	104:6	145:24	46:2	index
91:6,8	105:18	human	immensely	94:22
92:23	homo	6:15 8:1	140:14	1 - 21 - 1 2 2
95:16	home	1	J L	individual
hood	11:7,21	humiliation	impact	129:2
head	18:23	140:17	122:21,22	industry
6:18	30:17	hundred	implicate	34:25
health	84:12	145:25	75:19	inform
34:7	87:12	been been d		
141:23	92:7	husband	implication	49:9
ha	140:13	43:13	<b>S</b>	information
hear	honor	46:2 85:6	122:8	14:4
6:15	94:20	husband's	inaccurate	15:22
neard		45:19	127:14	16:11,18
122:6	Honorable	46:12		23 17:7,
<b></b>	95:6	47:17	include	34:19
hefty	hope		26:4	35:7
42:20	35:15		58:8,12	55:23,25
held		I	includes	74:20
52:9	hoped		106:24,25	87:12
77:21	132:23	ID	120:25	89:23
1 7	hours	104:20		97:4
hell	7:19 76:9	137:4	income	106:20
137:16			144:4	113:23
helps	house	identificat	145:20	131:5
147:3	40:7,10,	ion	incorrect	133:7,12
	17,19	5:2 15:18	68:1,5	24 134:9
hereto	41:3,15	16: <b>4</b>	69:4	12 138:1
5:8 61:8	45:25	17:18,21	85:22	
64:22	46:8,10	130:24	86:12,21	139:1,8, 25 <b>14</b> 0:4
70:14	47:23,24	identified	111:9	
high	48:1,2,3,	42:23	114:20	143:22,24
115:15	6 53:3		124:3	144:1
137:20,21	63:15,17	identify	125:22	146:20
139:16	89:20,22	16:7 19:8		147:1
142:5,12,	91:19,20	115:21	<pre>increase     115:7,13</pre>	informed
T47:0:T4:		116:9		



# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: initial..language

55:24	15,18,20,	interpretin	114:6	16:8
56:1,6	21,22,23	g	Jason	justice
96:17	31:7,16,	89:23	100:16	35:25
initial	23,25	interrogato	109:19	
14:3	32:4,5,8,	ries	3 - 1.	
103:2	17 33:1,	131:24	job	K
130:8	2,3,4,6,		29:15	
131:3	7,13,15,	interrogato	30:8	keeping
	20 34:4,	ry	31:19	91:18
initially	5,10,11,	27:10	33:1	143:1
21:11	12,14,16,	127:17	34:17	
87:22	18 35:2,	interrupt	69:18	kids
90:13	3,4,10,	6:13	135:22,24	85:7
112:8	12,14	74:15	137:2,8,	kind
initiated	36:9		12 138:1,	135:14
13:2	37:20,25	investigati	23	139:20
	38:5,9,	on	139:10,17	
inquiry	15,19,21,	17:6	jobs	knew
111:4	24 39:4,7	invoices	30:2	92:13
112:8	124:17	38:8	30:2	knowledge
insomnia	136:24	30.0	John	37:6
141:15,	146:1	issue	89:14	117:14
17,20		96:4	95:6	118:7
17,20	insured	103:10	عملم <b>د</b>	110.7
inspection	31:18	141:23	<b>joint</b> 129:7	Kurt
137:1	138:19	145:4	129:7	93:25
138:21	insurer	issued	judge	96:15
inspector	31:18	32:1	56:12,22	106:14
27:1,2,3,		32 <b>.</b> I	109:3	107:6
	intend	issues	judicial	108:8,17,
22 28:4	131:5	101:4	88:3	20,22
135:23	interest	133:21		110:3
136:24	46:3	item	94:24	
instruct	100:17	116:25	July	
75:10	109:22		12:18,20	<b>L</b>
76:24		128:4	15:3,5,11	
	interesting		28:3	labeled
instructed	64:18	J	111:16	19:7
84:1	139:3		121:1,7	26:12
instrument	interpret	T	128:2	61:3,14
67:7	47:22	James		66:7,10
68:20	99:7	109:4	June	84:11
	110:13	January	91:2,24	98:4
insurance	110:13	12:4	92:9	
27:3,21	interpretat	80:22,25	94:17	land
29:19,21,	ion	82:9,14	95:12	58:3
22,24,25 30:6,12,	26:20	103:3	jury	language
	102:1		J <b>∿-</b> - J	



April 25, 2018 Index: late..long

MACHIS VS EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	ATION SOLUTION	19	index: latelong
137:15	23:21	114:2	111:5	26:5,9,21
late	left-handed	115:12,24	117:18	37:19
12:24	73:15	117:8	121:20	42:4,5,14
13:1		147:13	123:17,18	51:21
	legal	letters	125:19	52:4
28:11	89:21		129:2	55:3,10,
119:13	91:11	42:3	1343	12,15,18
law	97:22	104:11	litigate 122:5	60:18,22,
34:12,14	98:25	112:6,11	122:5	25 64:20
68:12,13	99:7	114:24	litigation	66:7,11
136:12,25	100:19	115:2	14:15	70:12
T asse	110:13	130:17	25:4	75:6
laws	1 1 7	131:13	live	83:13,14,
34:14	legally	132:18		16,21,24
lawsuit	63:22	140:19	8:22 9:2,	84:2,7,12
10:17	90:7	147:12	9,15,24	86:15
15:16	96:20	level	10:18,20,	87:12
16:9	126:17	37:9	24 139:24	100:18
	lender		lived	102:15
lawyer	42:3,9	liability	8:16	103:7,14,
36:1	48:9,13,	31:1,9	41:11	16,17
37:15	15,20,22	34:13	91:20	104:25
layman's	50:2,10,	licensed		104:25
20:9	14,19,24	30:20	living	112:9
	51:16,20	34:5,6	8:21 9:23	
layperson	52:3		13:5 41:7	113:16
122:6	58:14	lien	42:9	115:14
lead	59:19	53:6 58:8	91:25	116:7,16
40:16	84:21	life	92:2	118:8
	97:1	25:2 34:6	111:21	119:6,7,
leaned	117:12	120:12	LLC	11,14,18
140:15	117:12	130:8	5:25	122:8,12
learn	lending	139:19	15:20	125:17,25
24:13	11:19	141:4		127:6
	letter		LLC's	128:22
learned		Linda	60:22	135:3
24:19	84:12,19	104:16	61:1	144:10,20
40:4	86:7	lines	loan	145:16,17
learning	106:7,12	6:14	5:25	Loans
24:16	107:4,22	11:23	5:25 11:24	18:23
	108:12		15:24	
lease	110:25	33:3		located
116:20	111:2,8,	118:20	17:13,14	28:13
117:1	15 112:1,	list	19:21,23	32:18,20
leave	4,7,10,	<b>117:1</b> 5	21:7,19	48:25
	14,20		24:4,14,	-
		7 4 - 4 - 4	~ ~ ~ .	IADA
92:14 led	113:1,4, 9,18	<b>listed</b> 106:20	20,24 25:6	long 8:16 9:2,



15 27:21,	136:16	mail	81:24	marriage
24 96:23	Macris	103:12	Manhattan	41:23
123:2	5:22	mailed	139:9	married
longer	15:16	87:18,20		12:8,11,
49:16	16:3,22		manufacturi	13 126:23
78:8 98:9	18:5	mailing	ng	13 120.23
	25:19	42:13	136:16	Mary
looked	42:25	maintain	137:3	89:14
113:2	43:10,12	38:15	March	material
lose	44:6		90:18	104:6
40:7,9	60:2,11,	major	128:10,14	
41:13	12 61:2	35:24	-	materials
92:7	65:24,25	make	marital	88:11
144:9	67:2	6:6 21:6	45:11,21	138:12,17
	68:17	23:7,13	46:3	139:7
losing	77:4,15,	24:3	mark	math
40:17	24 78:2	25:20	25:19	28:1
41:3	80:1	26:8	42:25	
loss	83:3,12	39:22	43:11	matriculate
41:15	85:12	40:18,24	65:25	36:12,18,
112:21,22	88:7	46:20,22	67:2	22
141:14	89:13,14	49:19,20	68:17	matrimonial
142:19	93:13	54:23	78:2	42:23
	95:7 96:1	55:7,14	89:13	matter
lost	98:7	62:5,10,	95:7 98:7	matter
137:23	100:14	19,20	101:19	94:4 95:2 96:2
138:5	101:20	65:5 69:7	110:21	
lot	106:7	71:19	125:1,15,	131:17
36:8 37:5	110:21	106:23	16 126:12	133:3
57:24	111:16	136:23	127:8,17,	matters
122:25	129:8,22	138:12,24	24 131:6	131:15,20
130:4	130:5	•	139:12	Mcgrath
137:23	131:7	making		5:21,24
J	146:5	10:15	marked	15:2,7,
low		21:25	5:1 16:4	12,15
147:20	made	22:19,22	19:3	56:4
lower	21:9,11,	23:1,3	42:19	59:22
24:24	18,21	24:14,17	61:9 65:7	60:1
LP	22:7,11,	25:5,6	82:21	72:18
84:12	13,17	37:24	88:8	73:25
04.12	38:9 43:9	38:5	105:24	74:15
	54:19	39:3,6,	106:1	75:17,22
M	55:3,9,	16,19	125:7	76:3,10,
A STATE OF THE STA	12,18	40:1,5,16	130:24	13,16,20,
machine	64:14	41:14	131:1	24 77:3,
34:9	90:23	42:5	marks	23 90:24
<b>フェ・フ</b>		54:18	144:25	∠3 90 <b>:</b> ∠4



April 25, 2018
Index: meansmove

MACHIS VS EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	TION SOLUTION	vo mu	ex. meansmov
129:13,21	met	66:7,11	mortgage	87:2,10,
135:1	13:17,20	70:12	11:20,22	13,19,20
147:9,18		75:7	17:16,25	88:5
	midway	83:13,14,	18:14	91:16
means	98:6	16,21,25	19:5	94:12
7:7 9:18	Miller	84:2	21:5,12	96:20,22
33:9,14	5:16 8:14	106:3	24:25	97:20
90:3,4	111:20	113:17	25:18	98:18,24
94:5	112:17		37:19	99:5,13,
112:22	113:8	modificatio	38:14,25	16,20,24
114:11	145:24	ns	39:10,16	100:3,10,
119:25		86:15	40:1,5,	12,18,25
121:22,25	mind	modify	16,18,23	101:6
124:19	82:10	65:23	41:14	102:3,15
129:4	90:3	84:20	42:5,12	103:17
133:10	mine	85:10	45:20	105:5,6,
modianli.	81:21	00.10	46:10,13,	11 106:16
medically	132:7	mom		107:5,12,
142:13	132.7	87:6	25 47:4,	•
medication	minute	monetary	9,12,18,	18,24
141:11,19	26:22	135:7	25 48:9,	108:13
142:3	72:6	133.7	13,15,20,	109:1,9,
143:7	minutes	money	22,25	23 110:5,
31 1	18:13	63:14,17	49:17,20,	20,22
medications	85: <b>1</b> 5	87:3,10	21,25	111:6,10,
142:15	129:15	101:23,24	50:4,5,	11 113:10
meet	129:15	103:17	10,11,14,	114:17
13:18,21	missed	105:8	19,24	115:14
137:6	119:8	109:13,15	51:12	116:6,16
	misstated	111:5	52:20,25	119:17
meeting	56:5	112:9	53:16,18,	
13:24	50:5	121:25	20,24	129:11
137:24	mitigation	122:3	54:2,6	144:20
members	112:21,22	140:20	55:3,18	mother
131:16,23	Mm - hmm		56:11,15,	131:25
	44:2 66:9	monies	18,19,20	132:1,19,
memories	91:4	87:3	57:7,9,13	20 133:5
43:6		month	58:20	20 133.3
memory	98:10	124:14	59:2,6,	Motor
83:22	102:24		11,15	119:11
	112:19,25	months	61:7	126:3
mental	113:6	45:17	63:2,5,9,	move
141:23	114:4	90:20	12,13,14,	10:12
mentally	124:12	132:21	23 64:3,	72:19
7:15	125:5,21	morning	14 65:23	76:14
	modificatio	5:22,23	84:20	78:14
mentioned	n	94:18	85:11	102:10
143:9	64:21		86:24	TO7:TO
1				



April 25, 2018 Index: moved..obligations

MACHIS VS EXF	'ERIAN INFORM	ATION SOLUTION	NS Index: r	movedobligations
110:24	neighbors	46:9	number	76:10
moved	130:13,	49:24	61:5,17,	objection
8:18 9:5,	14,16	50:3,4,	25 64:5,	20:22
18 11:6	131:12,	15,20	19 67:13	60:17
12:7	19,20	55:7 61:6	70:10,11,	72:18
12.7	NFL	62:23	21,23	74:18
moving	130:2	63:9 64:2	71:12	/4:13
9:8	130:2	65:12	72:2	objections
105:25	Niagara	78:18	73:24	5:11
112:14	67:12	79:6	74:7,18	61:20
multiple	night	91:16	75:5	64:10,25
33:3,8	137:16	96:6 97:2	77:6,9,	76:11
75:15	137:16	98:15	17,24	obligated
12:12	133:10	100:18,25	78:7,11,	20:12
	nod	101:6	16 79:5,	
N	6:18	102:2	14,20	40:24
	notarize	109:22	80:12	49:24
NA	45:1	110:5,20,	81:3	50:3
125:3	42:1	22 111:6,	94:22	59:18
	notarized	10,11	104:19	62:9,15
128:17	44:12,25	114:17		96:6
named	71:2,23	125:24	numbers	obligation
89:24	78:4	128:24	104:20	17:16
100:15	notary	129:11	numerous	45:20
104:16	44:14,15,		75:12	46:13
names	20 45:1	noted		47:4,18,
67:6	66:20,23	77:1	NYWCIRB	25 49:17
131:23	67:1,10,	notes	27:23	56:7
140:16	• •	88:17	28:5	57:8,11
140:16	12,13,14,	128:9	NYWCRB	90:6
narrow	24,25	139:4	27:22	94:21
22:6	68:10,12,			96:22
131:22	13 69:7,	notice		99:5
National	12,17	17:8	0	100:24
89:11	73:4	88:15,20,		106:16
	74:21	25 89:2,9	O'DONNELL	107:24
needed	86:16	notified	95:6	110:4
103:11	notary's	17:13,14		
113:12	69:13		oath	obligations
needing	note	notify	5:9 7:4	47:9
147:19	17:24	42:8	87:17	50:20
	19:5	November	107:11	51:17,22
negative		12:25	object	56:2
125:13	20:14,16	13:1 43:9	20:11	59:20
127:22	21:4	57:1,2	47:19	61:18
negligently	22:1,8	98:6	72:14	62:1,22
134:16	24:11	99:14	73:23	88:5
	40:23			



93:3,10	52:18	109:2,3,	145:11	110:8
97:2	54:1	16,17	owing	113:17
98:15	58:4,6	110:23	111:5	paragraph
101:5	88:21	ordered		17:2,3
104:25	93:17	98:7	owned	20:5,10,
118:15	95:3	106:15	146:3	13 21:14,
125:25	102:4	107:23		16 22:1
135:4	officially	108:13	P	24:9
obtain	11:13	109:1,18		26:14,15,
37:2,3				16,18
95:1	oldest	ordering	p.m. 147:22	45:15
	93:23	108:9	147:22	47:20
occupation	online	orders	package	52:15,16
26:25	112:11	98:23	35:11	53:12
occurred	onor.	organizatio	42:20	54:5,12,
22:3	<b>open</b> 104:7	n	pages	22 55:9,
October	123:18	137:11	43:3,16	21 58:23
10:14	123:10		48:8	84:23
64:7,15	opened	original	53:15	85:6
85:7	125:6	86:19	57:15,22	86:24
	operation	outcome	59:1	99:11,12
Odenbach	138:10,14	132:25	88:18	100:2
93:25	139:13	1 1 To . 4.	89:3,4	134:15
94:18		outright	98:21,22	parcel
95:23	operations	71:25	99:25	58:2
96:16,17,	34:17	overtook	113:5	
25 97:8	136:1 137:7	138:7	120:25	part
99:21	137:7	owe	paid	34:16
106:14	139:6	101:24	38:1	56:25 57:5
107:6,9		103:13	39:15	58:24
108:8,18,	opposed	105:3,5,	118:21	65:2 72:1
20,22	6:17	21	119:7,11	101:12
110:3,9	33:1,7	109:12,15	123:20	118:1
Odenbach's	options	121:25	126:17	127:15
97:13	112:21,23	122:3	127:20	138:25
101:2	order	140:8,20	painful	
offer	88:4	owed	6:7	parties
34:10,19	98:4,12,	20:15	0.7	5:8
35:4	20 99:13,	90:6	Panera	party
131:5	15,25	96:7,21	110:7	48:9,13,
144:16	102:1	101:23	paperwork	20,22
office	106:13,24	103:16	84:25	57:17
18:22	107:10	112:9	85:11,12,	98:9
27:16	108:5,6,	114:16	18 86:1,8	past
34:21	7,14	127:2	95:2,21	7:19



April 25, 2018 Index: obtain..past MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018
MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: patience..precise

MACHIS VS EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	ATION SOLUTION	NS index:	patienceprecise
118:14	38:5,10	133:1	134:18	16 34:11
123:20	39:3,7,	7	_1_1_4_64	35:4,14
125:18	16,19,22,	personal	plaintiff's	38:25
126:4,7,	23 40:2,	30:22	60:21,24	
11	5,16,18,	145:6	107:3	pop
	24 41:14	personally	planner	105:22
patience	42:4,6	67:1	36:21,23	portion
25:3	46:20,22	68:16	37:8	83:13
pay	49:19,21			<b>.</b>
20:12,15,	54:18,19,	persons	planning	posed
24 39:11	23 55:7,	67:6	36:10	75:19
63:15,18	10,12,14	phone	91:18	position
87:2,19	62:6,10,	13:19	plans	29:7
90:5	19,21	17:14	92:14	114:15
110:2	87:10	75:16		125:22
124:14		96:15,17,	platinum	145:21
124:14	119:8,13 124:22	18	115:25	
136:14	124:22	102:21,	pleading	positive
120:14	people	23,25	85:13	132:25
paying	122:25	104:12	94:7	possession
38:18,20,	132:12	126:22		50:18
23 47:12	134:7,11	133:2	pleasant	56:10
payment	137:7,10,	138:25	25:2 87:8	140:8
21:10,11,	12,13,25	143:9	point	
15,19,21	138:8		22:25	possessions
22:8	139:24	physically	24:16	144:4
24:25	140:18	7:10	32:21	possibility
	147:4	33:25	34:21	41:13
55:3,19		piece	53:14,19	147:10
64:15	percent	66:4	58:18	
121:19	122:13,18	144:16	98:21	post
122:13,	percentage		100:1	36:5
15,19	122:15	plaintiff	116:24	37:9,12
123:19		16:22		Post-it
124:16	period	52:19	pointing	88:17
125:7,19	25:2 30:7	54:13	100:6	
126:16	46:14	55:13,17,	points	potential
payments	49:10	22,24	120:6,8	35:3,11
21:7,25	83:17	56:14	122:22	potentially
22:12,13,	105:19	61:5,17,		16:1
17,20,22	person	21 64:6,	policies	127:21
23:1,4,8,	13:18,20,	20 65:1	30:25	
10,11,14	21 20:14	71:1 72:3	31:3,5	precipitate
24:4,14,	44:18	73:10	33:17,18	d .
17 25:5,	56:19	78:17	policy	13:4
7,20 26:9	67:5	79:6,9,16	31:7,16,	precise
37:24	68:19	89:11	17 33:15,	86:2
3/.24	00.17	109:21	11 33,13,	



Precisely	105:23	procure	91:12	public
121:18	120:2	11:12	93:4	67:1
			102:15	119:22
premarked	prevent	<b>produce</b> 147:17	114:10	punitive
15:17	7:12	14/;1/	144:17	134:19
17:17,20	previous	produced	145:6	134.19
82:18	145:20	104:6	proved	purchase
84:10	price	product	67:4	11:10,15
93:12,14	11:10	30:18	68:17	144:16
120:17	46:15	30.10	08:17	purchased
premises		products	provide	11:8,9,
45:21	principal	139:7	16:17	13,21
46:4	100:17	program	34: <b>1</b> 5	•
	109:22	34:11,18	35:11	purpose
premiums 136:14	prior	36:13,19,	42:13	47:15
136:14	8:21 9:8,	20,23,25	96:8,25	69:13
preparation	23 12:20	37:1,13	113:24	137:5,14
16:19	28:4,7,	57.1,15	130:11	138:21
prepare	21,22,23	programs	131:7	purposes
13:12,15	29:17	37:10,12	135:19	32:10
13:12,13	32:4	prompted	139:22	
preparing	41:25	103:5	146:19	pursuant
16:14			provided	37:19
prescribed	privilege	property	14:14,25	38:13
141:11,19	75:19	10:16	15:8	39:9,25
142:3,15	76:6	31:9	16:23	49:15
143:7	problems	34:5,12	42:22	108:14
	135:20	37:20,21,	42:22 72:15	pursue
present	138:23	25 38:1,	72:15	36:5 37:6
38:11,19	139:17	5,6,9,15,	75:18	
118:14	143:1	18,20	77:25	pursuing
presently		39:4,7,	80:12,21,	122:2
45:20	proceedings	11,12,14,	23,24	pursuit
	12:20	15,19,20,	88:11	96:10
pressure	13:2	23 40:2,6	93:17	
137:17,	125:20	41:7	114:25	<b>put</b> 87:11
20,22	process	42:10		
139:17	87:13,18	45:7,12,	121:5 130:10	88:17
142:6,12,	89:21	13 46:15	146:11	108:20
16,20	96:19,23	48:25		137:15
Prestige'	97:22	49:12,15	147:12	puts
94:2	100:25	50:5	provision	22:21
	106:3	52:17,20	62:15	93:23
presume	108:24	57:12	provisions	nuggio
45:17	113:12	58:2	31:4	puzzle
pretty	138:11	63:16,24	134:17	66:5
<del>-</del>		87:23	TO#:T/	



Q	105:1	raised	52:17	9,12
	107:21	103:10	144:17	79:17
	111:19	raising	145:6	81:6,9,
116164	113:16	96:5	reason	12,14,20,
qualified	115:17			21,23
67:12	116:3,13	147:6	8:3 19:18	82:4,11,
question	117:20	ramificatio	69:6	12 83:14,
7:1 8:8	122:24	ns	115:12	16 84:8
10:16		137:21	139:14	85:19
14:16	questioning		Rebecca	86:1,3,6
16:16	87:25	ran	67:11	87:15,16,
29:12	137:10	130:2	17	18,24
40:13,14	questions	rapport	recall	90:16
47:5	5:12	137:13	8:25 9:21	91:1,6,21
48:16,17	6:17,24	138:4,8	11:10,15,	92:23
50:22	7:23 25:3	•	20 12:1,	102:18
51:19	35:6 61:4	Rating	17,23	102:10
53:22	64:17	27:7	13:9	8,9,20
55:8	80:4 88:2	raw	19:16,21,	104:14
56:21	104:8,25	138:12,17	23 21:4,	
58:22	129:16	139:7	9,21,24	105:16
59:12	130:6		22:3,11,	123:23
		re-ask	17,19,22,	145:10,14
64:19,23	138:2,9	50:22	24,25	recalled
67:20,21	139:10	reached	23:3	22:19
68:8 69:2	141:4	26:3	24:15,16,	
70:1	146:6,9		19 30:7	receipt
72:19,20,	147:19,20	read	38:3,17,	94:1
22,24	quick	20:5	24 39:1,	receive
73:13,17,	46:5,24	26:13	14,17	42:3
19,20,21	59:22	32:1 45:8	41:16	141:5
74:2,4,16	137:13	60:23	42:15	
75:12,14,	138:4,8	62:15	44:8	received
18,24		68:13	62:17	55:22
•	quicker	72:10,22	67:19	85:11
76:2,3,5,	128:3		- · ·	00.11
•	120.5	74:4 78:2	69:22.24	90:11
76:2,3,5,		74:4 78:2 85:5 95:8	69:22,24 70:4.5.7	103:15,
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8,	quiz		70:4,5,7	103:15, 20,25
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24	<b>quiz</b> 105:22	85:5 95:8	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8,	103:15, 20,25 112:4
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24	quiz 105:22 quote	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20	103:15, 20,25
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11,	<b>quiz</b> 105:22	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7,	103:15, 20,25 112:4
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20	quiz 105:22 quote	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18	quiz 105:22 quote 34:16	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18 81:10	quiz 105:22 quote	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15 31:20	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12 74:8,11,	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1 130:17 131:13
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18 81:10 82:2,3,9 86:19	quiz 105:22 quote 34:16	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15 31:20 88:24	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12 74:8,11, 17,23,25	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1 130:17 131:13 receiving
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18 81:10 82:2,3,9 86:19 99:22,24	quiz 105:22 quote 34:16	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15 31:20	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12 74:8,11, 17,23,25 75:1,24	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1 130:17 131:13 receiving 17:8 90:9
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18 81:10 82:2,3,9 86:19 99:22,24 101:14	quiz 105:22 quote 34:16	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15 31:20 88:24 109:24	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12 74:8,11, 17,23,25 75:1,24 77:7,11,	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1 130:17 131:13 receiving
76:2,3,5, 8 77:4,8, 11,17,24 78:10,24 79:5,11, 13,20 80:16,18 81:10 82:2,3,9 86:19 99:22,24	quiz 105:22 quote 34:16 	85:5 95:8 96:11 99:7 reading 20:7 26:15 31:20 88:24	70:4,5,7 71:2,7,8, 18,20 72:5,7, 11,25 73:3,7,12 74:8,11, 17,23,25 75:1,24	103:15, 20,25 112:4 113:10,21 115:1 130:17 131:13 receiving 17:8 90:9



April 25, 2018 Index: qualified..recently

Index: recess..removed relieving 130:13,14 refinanced 107:10 85:1,19 51:16,21 12:1 131:12 108:5,6, recess 101:5 17:25 7,15 relatives 59:25 18:13 109:3,16 remainder 130:16 129:19 110:23 48:24 139:23 19:2 49:4,8,15 recognize 115:19 remark relayed 17:20,23 refinances referenced 123:6 133:2 18:17,20 46:8 94:6 124:7 release 48:1,2 recollectio 127:12 referred 45:19 128:13 refinancing 43:10,12 46:12 13:24 19:21,23 58:25 remember 47:17 14:8,10, 109:19 68:6 reflect 48:4 13,19 69:21 144:25 50:11 referring 38:4 81:4,5 53:2 15:19 39:18 reflected 85:25 119:15 16:9 51:6 released 126:9 86:9,10, 53:11 26:21 record reflects 13,15,22, 57:14 15:21,24 118:4,14 releases 23 105:4 61:8 52:6,9 59:19 126:7 110:6 70:14 77:19,21 119:8,10 72:1 refresh releasing 85:6 74:20 14:7 50:14,19, Remic 90:21 98:11 24 51:9 89:12 95:10 refreshed 99:23 59:15 119:22 13:24 remove 108:2,4, 128:10 14:9,13, relevant 58:2 11 109:2 17:7 25:4 19 99:19 recording 112:7 133:12 106:19 18:21,22 118:11, refuse 134:3,12 17,19 removed 83:24 recourse 119:25 relieve 52:25 143:19 refused 121:16 53:2 53:20 83:12,21 refer 126:4 54:2,6 relieved 85:13 15:19 130:19 56:15,17 53:16,17, 88:18 131:14 reinvestiga 57:6,10, 24 88:4 120:5,8 tion 133:19 11 58:24 93:9 134:8 15:6 59:3,11 referee 98:14 135:15 93:3 5:9 reiterate 102:2 98:17,23 100:15 refinance 146:25 107:5 99:12,15, 109:20 11:24 109:25 relate 23 100:3, 18:25 110:12, reference 61:3 9,12,19 24:20,24 19,21 94:5 106:15 related 45:25 125:24 98:4,21 107:12, 39:2 46:2 135:4 100:1 17,24 134:21 47:23 102:2 relieves 108:10,13 48:3 106:13, relative 47:3,9,12 109:1,9 49:7,22



24,25

April 25, 2018

# MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: removes..rewards

removes	127:8,10,	representin	respect	restated
58:19	13,16,17,	g	134:24	65:12
	19,21,23,	33:8	respective	78:18
removing	25 128:2,		5:8	79:6
51:12	15,19	represents	5:0	restaurant
52:19	134:25	33:3	respond	136:16
render	135:2	request	94:17	136:16
7:15	139:3,5	60:22	104:24	restroom
	144:23,24	61:1,5,		8:4
rent	-	17,25	responded	
146:2	reported	64:5,19	105:20	result
renting	103:6	70:10,11,	responds	41:15
11:8	106:21	20,23	95:23	49:17
146:4	124:6,24	71:12		115:8
110.1	127:3,23	72:2	response	121:7
reopen	reporter	73:23	6:20	144:9
147:11	reporter 6:9,21	73:23 74:7,18	60:21,25	resulted
rep	•		61:19	135:5
29:9	7:4	75:5	65:3	
29.9	reporting	77:6,9	72:3,15,	retaining
repay	102:14	78:7,11,	16 73:9,	92:10,12
96:7	114:15,	16 79:5,	22 74:12	retirement
	16,20	14 80:12	76:9	144:2
repeat	115:13	81:2	79:3,15	144:2
51:3,19	116:6,15,	requested	80:17	returned
55:16	22 117:11	115:7	94:17	18:23
61:24	119:17,19		111:4	84:25
72:20	121:13	requesting	113:21	85:18
74:2		42:4		86:9
138:24	124:3	55:23	responses	139:14
report	125:10,23	requests	27:10	
14:4,12,	128:7	61:2	responsibil	revealing
18 15:4,6	134:22		ity	60:7
34:20	135:2,6	104:9	129:1	revelation
52:17	reports	required		75:25
53:8	14:9	20:15,24	responsible	76:5
	106:20	21:25	24:10	
103:11	139:11	42:13	25:18,20	review
114:21,22		46:5,21	94:11	13:23
117:7	repossessed	136:12	97:20	17:7,19
118:4,14,	119:12		105:7,10	112:20
20,23	repossessio	requires	111:11	146:18
119:19,21	_	135:22		
120:23,25	<b>n</b> 116:19	reserved	responsive	reviewed
121:5,11,		5:13 16:1	104:9	14:4,13,
12 123:3,	117:1	J.1J 1U.1	rest	17 32:1
7,9 124:4	representat	resolve	141:3	94:4
125:1,15	ives	112:24	± ± ± • •	rewards
				<del></del>



ACRIS vs EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	ATION SOLUTION	NS	April 25, 20 Index: rids
115:25	86:7	35:10	September	sets
	Schaefer's	139:12	9:22	80:3
id		147:17	13:11	Setting
126:25	86:20		23:25	87:7
rights	scheduled	sending	95:24	0/:/
16:1	128:9	140:19	101:3	settle
risk	school	sense	112:15	127:7
33:22	36:14,16	65:5	140:22	settlement
34:20,22		sentence	series	15:25
35:3,9	science	20:13,20	66:6	42:24
136:1	35:23	45:23	00:0	43:21
138:9	score	46:1,7,	served	91:2,5
138:9	115:15	11,17,19,	61:20	51.2,5
Road	122:8,12,	23 47:14,	104:10	severely
5:16 8:14	13,17,18,	23 47:14, 15 48:4	service	122:21
111:20	21,23	56:13,25	31:18	sharing
112:17	123:10	56:13,25 57:5 86:4	126:22,25	133:24
113:8	144:11	98:14,16,	120:22,25	
rule		98:14,16, 17 100:1,	servicer	Sheridan
6:8	search		42:4,14	9:1,8
0:0	147:16	8 117:3,	51:16,21	shop
rules	secondary	20,25	52:4	34:9
6:6	36:9	118:13	105:6	136:17
		sentences	services	
	section	47:7	34:8 37:7	shoulder
S	<b>45:9</b>	Sentry		132:22
	88:19	32:17	Servicing	show
S-E-N-T-R-Y	secure	33:6,19	5:25	17:17
32:19	45:18	34:4,10	15:20	42:19
S-I-M-I-N-	46:12	35:10	17:13,15	95:4,20
S-K-I	47:16	33.10	60:18,22	107:9,16
_ <b></b>		separate	61:1	114:23
132:9	48:4	Deberance		
132:9	48:4	38:24	84:12	
sake	secured	_	84:12 103:14,16	showed
	secured 50:4	38:24	84:12	showed 54:1
<b>sake</b> 105:25	secured	38:24 63:7 86:5	84:12 103:14,16	showed
<b>sake</b> 105:25	secured 50:4	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14	showed 54:1
sake 105:25 sale 46:14	<b>secured</b> 50:4 114:9	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22	<b>showed</b> 54:1 95:19
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales	50:4 114:9 securing 63:23	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22	showed 54:1 95:19 showing
sake 105:25 sale 46:14	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9	<pre>showed    54:1    95:19 showing    38:9</pre>
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10	<pre>secured    50:4    114:9 securing    63:23 sell    29:11</pre>	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10 satisfactor	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24 separately	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10 satisfactor	<pre>secured    50:4    114:9 securing    63:23 sell    29:11</pre>	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16 129:15	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows 56:17
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10 satisfactor	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell 29:11 46:21	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24 separately 49:25	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16 129:15 Seth	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10 satisfactor Y 67:5 68:18	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell 29:11 46:21 selling	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24 separately 49:25 separation	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16 129:15 Seth 13:17	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows 56:17
sake 105:25 sale 46:14 sales 29:9,10 satisfactor y 67:5 68:18 Schaefer	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell 29:11 46:21 selling 48:5 49:11	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24 separately 49:25 separation 13:5,8	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16 129:15 Seth 13:17 60:6	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows 56:17 119:21
<pre>sake     105:25  sale     46:14  sales     29:9,10  satisfactor y     67:5</pre>	secured 50:4 114:9 securing 63:23 sell 29:11 46:21 selling 48:5	38:24 63:7 86:5 97:14,17 106:5 separated 12:15 13:9 23:24 separately 49:25 separation	84:12 103:14,16 119:6,14 125:17 128:22 set 83:9 114:13 120:16 129:15 Seth 13:17	showed 54:1 95:19 showing 38:9 120:16 121:4 shows 56:17 119:21 sic



## MARK MACRIS

				Index: signspea
sign	53:1	82:12	135:18	SLS024
20:24	56:11,22	86:15	136:7	83:2
68:3	64:20	similar	137:16	SLS025
69:21	66:15	112:7	139:16	66:8
70:8	67:10,25		141:14	
71:10,13	68:5,25	Siminski	142:19	SLS026
73:16	69:23	132:9	slowly	66:10
81:14	70:2,12,	simply	6:16	SLS028
83:13,21,	17,25	84:5		66:14
24 84:1,7	71:9		SLS	
85:13	72:7,8	single	17:5	SLS035
ignature	73:10	87:6	55:22,24	65:24
18:1,3,4	75:6	135:20	56:6	SLS038
19:12,14,	77:16	139:21	57:8,18	65:14
19,24,25	78:17,21	147:6	58:12	78:19,21
21:2	79:6,23	sir	59:14	GT G030
43:25	81:5,8,13	137:8	61:4	SLS039
44:3,6,	83:3	140:10	104:1,12,	
21,22	84:13,25	_3 _1,	16 105:6,	
58:9,15	85:18	sister	13 106:3,	119:10
65:15,16,	86:8	131:25	8,12	SOB
17,25	91:12	132:1	111:1,15	140:2
66:1,17	96:5	133:4,15	112:9,15	140:2
67:18,22,	109:3	146:3	114:25	sold
23,25	129:10	sit	115:13,19	
	signing	7:10,16	116:5,15,	Solutions
69:3,17	5:10	31:11	22 118:7,	15.22
71:1,14,	19:16	76:9	23 119:17	16:11
15,18,19,	20:14	87:17	129:7	10.11
22 72:4	44:8,19	113:20	130:7	son
73:1,3,5,	67:19	137:9	131:15	41:5,7
6,14,15	68:6	sitting	132:18	92:2
74:22	69:14,21,	7:12 47:2	134:16,22	132:23,24
75:2	22,24	7:12 47:2 50:17	135:2	139:20
78:3,23,	70:4,6	64:13	143:9	sore
25 79:2,	71:3,7,8,	73:8	SLS's	141:2
16 81:8,	20 72:5,	74:11,17	111:4	
15 86:17,	11,25	110:7	114:14	Sound
18	73:3,7	137:24		8:11
	74:10,23		SLS007	speak
signatures	75:1	situation	19:7	6:16
58:7,12	77:7,12,	110:11	SLS010	
igned	13 78:4,	six-month	26:13	speaking
21:4	9,12	49:10		76:11
44:17,23	79:1,17		<b>SLS012</b> 19:11	speaks
. – . , – ~	, J , L , L ,	sleep	14 + 17	47:20



MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018
MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: Specialized..stopped

Specialized	SROF-2013-	starts	131:24	86:8 88:2
5:25	SE	45:7	140:15	89:5
15:20	89:12	112:14	statement	103:16
17:13,14	stacking	state	17:12	108:25
60:18,22,	82:19	8:9 30:21		113:9
25	02:19	34:7,13	56:8	115:15
103:13,16	staff	35:20	58:23	status
119:6,14	35:1,8	36:3,8	68:4,11	64:11
125:17	stamp	59:13	69:4,8	121:19
128:21	107:3	64:10	74:24	123:18,19
	107.5		85:24	124:16
specific	stamped	65:1	86:12,20	125:8,19
8:24 9:11	88:21	66:23	97:7	126:16,17
10:1 14:7	stand	67:1		120:10,17
22:4	73:8,18,	68:16	105:4	stay
30:18	22 74:12,	70:15	118:11	128:14
32:13	22 /4:12, 18 75:8	71:21	120:2,9	stays
38:4	77:5,8	72:8,18	127:14	123:3
83:17	•	79:15	130:9	123:3
110:19	80:13	94:14,23	states	step
138:2	standard	95:6	17:5	25:6
specificall	34:24	99:11,18	21:14	Stevens
Y	start	106:15,19	<b>45:1</b> 5	32:21
37:18	25:6 34:4	107:5,22	53:15	34:21
71:9,13		108:6,7	54:13	
81:13,18	42:16	110:12,	56:13	stipulated
100:2	45:6	19,22	61:21	5 <b>:</b> 7
110:12	67:17	114:19	65:11	stipulation
116:12	82:19	115:11	66:23	_
117:15	102:22	116:4,8,	67:11	<b>S</b>
	106:6	14,18,21,	68:14	5:4
specifics	132:19	25 123:12	84:24	stole
39:1	133:5	130:11	85:17	87:2
speculation	140:1	134:15	86:24	Stone
144:6,8	141:6	135:23	100:2	132:5,6
T44:0'0	started	136:4,9,	101:20	134:5
spelled	6:5 12:20	18	107:20	134:5
32:19	28:1	a+ > + > d	107:17	stop
98:19	58:17	stated		6:13 8:6
spoke	125:20	24:8	125:18	10 10:15
60:6		25:24	131:4	54:18
104:15,22	starting	48:19	136:9	atonnod
	28:4	56:14	stating	stopped
133:1	62:21	62:5,9,20	58:17	21:25
spring	88:13	83:11,20	59:10	23:1,3,
26:1,3,8	93:20	92:5 97:9	69:2	10,11
	115:5	107:11		24:14,17
55:2	113.3	123:12	85:22	25:5



MARK MACRIS April 25, 2018 MACRIS vs EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS Index: stopping..testimony

37:24	successfull	Synergy	Teakwood	20:9
39:15	Y	29:3,8,	10:2,13,	33:25
40:5,15	49:3,7,8	11,17	17,24	46:16
42:5	suffered	system	11:6 12:7	47:1
stopping	135:9	94:24	18:14	125:14
41:14	140:14	136:11,21	37:21	Terrace
		130.11,21	38:6,10	10:2,13,
strain	suffering		39:12	17 18:14
41:23	147:2	T	40:6	37:21
Stratton	suggesting		45:13	38:6,10
36:11,13,	55:9	tab	49:1 50:5	39:12
17 37:13		98:2	52:20	40:6
	summarize		87:24	45:13
strike	45:8	tabulate	94:12	49:1 50:6
16:15,16	summons	122:16	99:6	52:21
92:24	88:15,20,	takes	102:15	87:24
93:1	25 89:2,8	9:4 22:14	103:18	94:13
strikes	supplemente	35:5	106:16	99:6
92:25	d d	taking	126:23	102:16
struggled	15:5	6:9	telephone	103:18
85:8	72:15	115:20	130:15,16	106:17
02:0	72:15	143:12	131:12	126:23
struggles	support	143:12		
41:22,25	85:9	talk	telling	testified
studies	124:10,	6:11,14	133:20	5:18 21:1
36:6	14,21	12:6	ten	40:21
	128:19	31:11	19:17	54:25
subject	129:1	122:17	137:19,24	74:14
54:14,16,	146:15	130:2	hom	99:9
24 55:7,	suppose	talked	ten-year- old	102:6
15,25	29:15	130:1	139:19	testify
56:2,7			139:19	7:8
61:7,19	supposed	talking	tense	testimony
62:2,23	23:7,13	6:12	138:6	18:12
64:7	100:16	79:25	tentative	60:5,9
141:2	130:15	80:1	15:24	•
147:9,18	Supreme	102:21		61:15 69:20
submit	95:7	147:2	term	69:20 72:9 80:8
113:16	a	talks	35:13	72:9 80:8 85:14
aubaamikad	swearing	47:16	terminated	86:20
subscribed	140:1	<b>.</b>	124:18	95:11,16
67:6	swore	tax		101:1
68:19	7:4	39:19,23	termination	101:1
substance	sworn	40:2	116:20	121:16
	PMOTIT	taxes	117:2	121;10
60:4,9	5 • 1 7	caxes		129.25
60:4,9 129:24	5:17	39:11,14	terms	129:25 130:11



ACRIS vs EXP	ERIAN INFORMA	ATION SOLUTION	NS .	Index: theoryty
131:7	67:13	113:20	119:11	truthfully
- <b>h</b>	83:12,17,	129:25	126:3	7:8
cheory	19 90:5	146:6,9,	track	turn
81:17	91:14,23,	11	6:21	15:16
hing	25 92:2,		6:21	
7:25 8:1,	11 102:13	told	trade	20:3 26:12
7 29:10	104:4	24:21	118:20	
118:23	105:19	25:19	trained	53:4
128:24	118:22	26:7	137:18	60:11
137:15	119:1,3	49:19	137:18	65:5
139:15	130:3	92:24	transaction	70:20
	144:21	93:1	12:6	79:13
chings	145:2,9	95:20		98:2
85:8 86:5	146:12,	99:17	transcript	104:2
97:14,18	13,21	100:22	5:11 89:5	turning
133:6,11	13,21	101:13,17	transfer	19:11
143:10		109:14	46:5	21:13
144:24	timeframe	110:4,9	52:17	43:24
thought	103:21	133:6,11	53:8	45:5,14
9:12	times	146:6,8,	L	66:14
33:12	43:5	14	treated	116:9
	75:12,15	Tonawanda	141:17	121:11
thoughts	133:1	29:1,2	142:1,12	124:11
8:4	137:23	29:1,2	143:5	125:3
threaten		Tony	treatment	126:2
143:13,	138:6	104:16	141:5	128:2,16
15,18	titled	139:25		134:14
	65:22	ton	Triad	134:14
threatened	66:11	<b>top</b> 66:15	29:3,7,	two-page
143:21,22	today	82:25	11,17	53:7
time	-		trial	Tril or
5:13 8:10	6:1,2,24	88:13	5:13 16:8	Tyler 28:8,12,
10:21	7:8,11,	98:5		
12:7	13,16,23	121:12	triggered	21
17:19,25	13:13	topic	112:8	type
18:7,24	15:23,25	42:17	true	27:2
21:22,24	47:2	toniaa	69:8	29:13
23:7,9	50:17	topics	85:24	30:15
24:2	60:13	102:10	86:3,4	135:10
	62:20	total		136:15
25:16	64:13	131:16	trust	*********
30:2,7	69:20	tough	89:13	typed
31:23	73:8	tough	trustee	65:15
35:5 37:5	74:11,17	147:5	89:12	66:16,17
40:4	80:8,14	Town		types
41:8,17	86:20	10:9	truthful	138:13
46:13	87:17,21	Marra to	68:10	
55:4	107:11	Toyota		typo



130:14	94:9	unfit	Verizon	Wallace
	96:14	7:15	126:15,25	106:15
WILLIAM	110:10		127:3	107:2,4,
U	112:22	unified		7,16,23
	121:3,24	94:23	versus	108:9
uh-uh	122:7,11,	uphold	89:13	
6:18	25 135:8	61:18	view	wanted
ultimately	146:5	62:1,22	135:3	24:20,24
61:20	understanda	upper	village	49:21
97:24	ble	88:14	10:8,9	130:2
	22:7		·	wanting
unable	22:7	utilities	violated	137:25
49:10	understandi	145:25	17:5	warranty
unaware	ng	146:1,2	134:17	53:6,21
63:21	31:20		Visa	57:24
	33:23	v	115:25	58:1,8
undated	48:12	<b>V</b>		30:1,6
106:7	49:16		vision	ways
underneath	59:17	vantage	41:10	28:15
33:19,21	63:16	122:16,17	visit	112:23
-	69:12	verbal	136:23	weather
undersigned	90:2	6:17,20	137:5,14	130:1
66:25	97:13	25:17,22,	•	
understand	119:16	25 26:2	visits	week
6:24,25	126:11	55:1	138:25	81:5
7:1,3,7,	127:5	104:24	****	Western
21 14:16	128:13		W	136:22
20:9,20	129:10	verbatim		
23:9 25:1	137:6	95:15		whatsoever
26:16,19	understood	verbiage	wages	145:7
29:12	24:8	110:16,17	140:6	wife
33:13	38:13	verificatio	143:16	10:21
37:17,18	40:22		wait	17:24
39:9,25	94:10	n 120.2.16	110:8	18:7 21:6
42:12	99:2,9	138:3,16	waive	23:7,13,
43:15,18	101:2	verified	96:10	17 24:2,
44:14	102:6	44:22	97:2	13,17
45:23,24	108:25	89:1,3,9		25:5,16
46:6,19	146:9	verifies	waived	26:2
47:5,7	140.5	44:15	5:9,11	37:20,23
58:25	${\tt undertook}$	44.13	walk	38:2,14
60:15	51:22	verify	137:2	39:6,15
63:11,12	underwritin	34:17		40:1,15
68:21	g	44:17,18	walked	42:1,5
69:6	33:25	69:14	85:7	43:11
80:3,6	35:1,7	135:25	walkthrough	45:15
89:19	55,2,,	138:10	138:16	46:4,11



April 25, 2018 Index: willfully..youngest

IVIACHIS VS EXI	ZERIAN INFORM	ATION SOLUTIO	NS Index: willfullyyounges
47:16	10,18,22	writes	7,17
48:24	29:2,22	16:17	19:17
49:9,14	32:10,22,		27:25
54:15	24 93:22	92:25	32:8 70:5
55:1,9	135:21		85:8
62:12,20	136:15,	writing	86:11
83:20	18,21	24:6	91:20
84:5	138:14	33:10,25	123:4
85:21	140:6,10	34:1	137:19
126:23	143:1	105:14	
139:23	144:2,4	127:18	yesterday
   willfully	worked	written	13:22
134:17		23:19	14:14,18
	27:21,24	31:3,5	80:24
Williamsbur	28:8,20	33:15	93:17
g	29:1,4,	50:13	York
10:3	24,25 137.18	53:17	5:16
Williamsvil	137:18	59:14	27:7,11,
le	Workers'	97:1,5,6,	17 28:14
8:23 9:25	27:7	7 102:21,	29:1
10:4,7,9	31:1,10	23 106:9	30:21
	34:13,23	139:4	34:7,13
Wisconsin	135:24	wrong	52:21
32:21,22	136:5,10	wrong 65:8	66:24
34:21	working		68:14
wishes	28:21	wrote	95:6
132:24	30:2 34:9	30:23,24,	135:23,25
	96:2,24	25 31:13,	136:4,9,
wondered	97:15	14,15	19,22
143:11	140:12	32:2	youngest
word	147:7	33:6,12	132:24
33:24		35:13,14	<b>_ ·</b>
wording	works	94:1	
wording 100:11	33:4	122:2	
	worried		
words	41:2	Y	
22:18		***************************************	
60:16,17	Worries	****	
69:18	143:10	year	
72:2	write	12:17	
107:12	33:17,18,	22:18,23	
109:8	19,20,21,	27:20	
110:19	24 35:12,	36:3	
work	13 104:21	80:22	
27:4,13	writer	145:3,20	
28:5,7,	33:6,7	years	
		8:17 9:3,	